

**Subject:** New judicial crime

**From:** Walt Tuvell <walt.tuvell@gmail.com>

**Date:** 06/20/17 16:52

**To:** Judicial Council <Florence\_Pagano@ca1.uscourts.gov>, Judicial Conference <JCD\_PetitionforReview@ao.uscourts.gov>, James Comey <james.comey@ic.fbi.gov>, Ray Hulser <raymond.hulser@usdoj.gov>, DOJ OIG <oig.hotline@usdoj.gov>, DOJ OPR <opr.hotline@usdoj.gov>, "FBI Division, Criminal" <Criminal.Division@usdoj.gov>, Rod Rosenstein <rod.rosenstein@ic.fbi.gov>  
**CC:** JM@GG <JudicialMisconduct@googlegroups.com>, sandra.sperino@uc.edu, "Thomas, Suja A" <sathomas@illinois.edu>

To Addressees & CC's —

As you (should) know, my previous filings (to federal courts, judicial councils & conference, and agencies such as DOJ/FBI/PIN), as copiously documented on my website (<http://JudicialMisconduct.US>), have formally/officially filed criminal charges against my subject judges.

Please be advised that today I hereby now additionally formally/officially charge yet another crime, which I've recently discovered, and documented (<http://judicialmisconduct.us/Introduction>, screenshot below), namely, Criminal Contempt:

Judges do *not* (nor does anyone else, by general statute, right or custom) enjoy immunity from *criminal* liability. The following is a (non-exhaustive) list of crimes that may be attributed to judges, provided the necessary causes-of-action present themselves. For details, see the [Judicial Twilight Zone Essay](#), ¶3-10. Any of these crimes could/should/would constitute grounds for [impeachment](#).

- [18 USC §401\(2\) — Criminal Contempt of Court \(by the judge, an officer of the court\).](#)<sup>α</sup>
- [18 USC §1519](#) — Obstruction of Justice: Falsification of Records; Concealment; Cover-Up.
- [18 USC §4](#) — Misprision of Felony.
- [18 USC §1503](#), [§1505](#) — "Omnibus Clauses": Obstruction of Justice or Proceedings.
- [18 USC §242](#) — Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law.
- [28 USC §453](#) — Judicial Oath of Office.
- [5 USC §3331](#) — Civil Service Oath of Office.
- [18 USC §1621-1623](#) — Perjury (Lying Under Oath); Subornation; False Declarations Before Court.
- [18 USC §1001](#) — False Statements or Entries (Oath/Swearing Not Required).
- [5 USC §7311\(1-2\)](#); [5 USC §3333](#); [18 USC §1918\(1-2\)](#) — Loyalty; Affidavit Of Loyalty; Disloyalty.
- [18 USC §371](#) — Conspiracy.
- [18 USC §1341\(1346\)](#) — Honest-Services Fraud (Perhaps/Probably NOT).
- [18 USC §2381](#), [2382](#) — Treason; Misprision.
- [18 USC §3282\(a\)](#) — Statute of Limitations.

<sup>α</sup>· This item is newly added to this list (it was not addressed in the [Judicial Twilight Zone Essay](#)). See, e.g., [McFarland v. State of Neb.](#), 172 Neb. 251, 109 N.W.2d 897 (1961) √. In that case, a judge was imprisoned for contempt when he issued a writ of *habeas corpus*, "outside the realm of judicial action," lacking the authority to do so. The decision: (i) cited with approval [Bratellien v. United States](#), 147 F.2d 888, 895 (8th Cir., 1945): "Judicial title does not render its holder immune to crime even when committed behind the shield of judicial office"; and further (ii) held that: "[A] disclaimer of intention, disrespect, or design to embarrass the due administration of justice is not a defense. ... With respect to defendant's contention that he intended no harm, it may be said that in the commission of the acts charged, it will be presumed that he intended the results attained and their natural probable consequences. The test of guilt in a prosecution such as this is not necessarily found in the mental processes by which the defendant attempts to justify his acts. The motive of the defendant and the effect intended are subjects of judicial scrutiny, and evidence, as here, may be sufficient to refute oral testimony of innocence and the asserted intention to exercise a legal right."

If you find this formal/official deficient in any way (such as, for example, you may require paper documents to be filed by USMail), please promptly inform me of the exact procedures you require me to follow, and I will comply. Otherwise, I/we will/must assume this email suffices.

Thank you.

— Walter Tuvell