

# 9/11 Truth movement

Adherents of the **9/11 Truth movement** are conspiracy theorists who dispute the mainstream account of the September 11 attacks of 2001. The group disputes the commonly accepted account that Al-Qaeda terrorists hijacked four airliners, crashed them into the Pentagon and New York's Twin Towers, whereupon the crashes led to the collapse of the Twin Towers. The primary focus is on missed information that they allege is not adequately explained in the official NIST theory, such as the collapse of World Trade Center 7. They suggest a cover-up and, at the least, complicity by insiders.<sup>[1][2][3][4][5][6][7][8]</sup>



Supporters of the 9/11 Truth movement at an anti-war demonstration in Los Angeles, October 2007

They analyze evidence from the attacks, discuss different theories about how the attacks happened and call for a new investigation into the attacks.<sup>[9][10][11][12][13][14][15]</sup> Some of the organizations assert that there is evidence that individuals within the United States government may have been either responsible for or knowingly complicit in the September 11 attacks. Motives suggested by the movement include the use of the attacks as a pretext to fight wars in Iraq and Afghanistan and to create opportunities to curtail American civil liberties.<sup>[2][16]</sup> Support for the movement is negligible from professionals in relevant fields, such as civil and aerospace engineering.<sup>[17]</sup>

## Contents

### Characteristics

- Name
- Adherents
- Views
- Communication

### History

- 9/11 Commission Report reaction
- NIST Report reaction
- Pamphlets at National September 11 Museum

### Organizations

- Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth
- 9/11 Truth
- Scholars for 9/11 Truth
- Scholars for 9/11 Truth & Justice
- 9/11 Citizens Watch
- 9/11 Commission Campaign
- Hispanic Victims Group
- Conferences

### Opponents

### Media

- Books
- Films

## Details

### See also

### References

### External links

Media coverage

### Book

# Characteristics

---

## Name

"9/11 Truth movement" is the collective name of loosely affiliated<sup>[16][18]</sup> organizations and individuals that question whether the United States government, agencies of the United States or individuals within such agencies were either responsible for or purposefully complicit in the September 11 attacks.<sup>[3][4][5][6][7][19][20][21]</sup> The term is also being used by the adherents of the movement,<sup>[22][23]</sup> who call themselves "9/11 skeptics",<sup>[24]</sup> "truth activists",<sup>[25]</sup> or "9/11 Truthers",<sup>[26]</sup> while generally rejecting the term "conspiracy theorists".<sup>[18][25]</sup>



Truth movement sticker

## Adherents

Adherents of the 9/11 Truth movement come from diverse social backgrounds.<sup>[1][23][25]</sup> The movement draws adherents from people of diverse political beliefs including liberals, conservatives, and libertarians.<sup>[3][20][25]</sup>

Lev Grossman of *Time* magazine has stated that support for the 9/11 Truth movement is not a "fringe phenomenon", but "a mainstream political reality."<sup>[22]</sup> Others, such as Ben Smith of *Politico* and the *Minneapolis Star Tribune* have stated that the movement has been "relegated to the fringe".<sup>[27][28]</sup> *The Washington Post* editorial staff went further describing the movement as "lunatic fringe."<sup>[29]</sup> Mark Fenster, a University of Florida law professor and author of the book *Conspiracy Theories: Secrecy and Power in American Culture*,<sup>[30]</sup> says that "the amount of organisation" of the movement is significantly stronger than the organization of the movement related to doubts about the official account of the assassination of John F. Kennedy,<sup>[3]</sup> though this is likely the result of new media technologies, such as online social networks, blogs, etc.

The 9/11 Truth movement is active in the United States as well as in other countries.<sup>[15]</sup>

In 2004, John Buchanan ran for president on a 9/11 Truth platform.<sup>[31][32]</sup> Jeff Boss ran in the 2008, 2012, and 2016 US presidential elections, and is currently running in the 2020 election on a 9/11 Truth platform.<sup>[33]</sup>

In a 2011 article in *Skeptical Inquirer*, Jamie Bartlett and Carl Miller do an overview and analyze the members of the 9/11 Truth movement community. The authors find that people involved in this movement, which seemingly is a disparate group with very diversified backgrounds, could be classified into three groups. They join the movement for different reasons, loosely self-assemble to fill different roles, and are united by their shared mistrust in experts and the establishment (government and reputable sources of knowledge) and have a conspiratorial stance. Through their engagement, they each find their own fulfillment and satisfaction. Together, they contribute to the persistence, resilience, and exaggerated claims of acceptance (in general public) of the movement.<sup>[34]</sup>

## Views

Many adherents of the 9/11 Truth movement suspect that United States government insiders played a part in the attacks, or may have known the attacks were imminent, and did nothing to alert others or stop them.<sup>[24]</sup> Some within the movement who argue that insiders within the United States government were directly responsible for the September 11 attacks often alleging that the attacks were planned and executed in order to provide the U.S. with a pretext for going to war in the Middle East, and, by extension, as a means of consolidating.<sup>[22][23]</sup>

According to these allegations, this would have given the Bush administration the justification for more widespread abuses of civil liberties and to invade Afghanistan and Iraq to ensure future supplies of oil.<sup>[24]</sup> In some cases, even in the mainstream media, "hawks" in the White House, especially then Vice-President Dick Cheney and members of the Project for the New American Century, the neoconservative think-tank, have been accused of either being aware of, or involved in, the alleged plot.<sup>[35][36][37]</sup>

A relatively common, but not exclusive view within the 9/11 Truth movement alleges that the buildings of the World Trade Center were destroyed by controlled demolition.<sup>[1][20][38][39]</sup>

## Communication

The Internet plays a large role both in the communication between adherents and between local groups of the 9/11 Truth movement and in the dissemination of the views of the movement to the public at large.<sup>[2][3][6][22][36]</sup> Colorado Public Television has aired several films produced by the movement such as *9/11 Explosive Evidence: Experts Speak Out*, a documentary produced by Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth, which once was one of the "most shared" and "most watched" programs on the national PBS site. The station's airing of such films has been controversial for the affiliate and PBS.<sup>[40][41]</sup>

## History

In the aftermath of the September 11 attacks, different interpretations of the events that questioned the account given by the U.S. government were published. Among others, Michael Ruppert<sup>[42]</sup> and Canadian journalist Barrie Zwicker,<sup>[43]</sup> published criticisms or pointed out purported anomalies of the accepted account of the attacks. French author Jean-Charles Brisard<sup>[44]</sup> and German authors Mathias Bröckers<sup>[45]</sup> and Andreas von Bülow<sup>[46]</sup> published books critical of media reporting and advancing the controlled demolition thesis of the destruction of the World Trade Center towers. In September 2002, the first "Bush Did It!" rallies and marches were held in San Francisco and Oakland, California organized by The All People's Coalition.<sup>[47]</sup>



A 9/11 Truth movement protest sign, October 2009

In October 2004, the organization 9/11 Truth released a statement, signed by nearly 200 people, including many relatives of people who perished on September 11, 2001, that calls for an investigation into the attacks. It also asserted that unanswered questions would suggest that people within the administration of President George W. Bush may have deliberately allowed the attacks to happen. Actor Edward Asner, former presidential candidate Ralph Nader, former congresswoman Cynthia McKinney, former assistant secretary of housing Catherine Austin Fitts, author Richard Heinberg, Enver Masud, founder of The Wisdom Fund, professors Richard Falk of the University of California, Mark Crispin Miller of New York University, Douglas Sturm of Bucknell University, Burns H. Weston of the University

of Iowa College of Law and others signed the statement. In 2009, Van Jones, a former advisor to President Obama, said he hadn't fully reviewed the statement before he signed and that the petition did not reflect his views "now or ever."<sup>[48][49][50]</sup>

In 2006, Steven E. Jones, who became a leading academic voice of the demolition theory,<sup>[2]</sup> published the paper "Why Indeed Did the WTC Buildings Completely Collapse?"<sup>[51]</sup> He was placed on paid leave by Brigham Young University following what they described as Jones's "increasingly speculative and accusatory" statements in September 2006, pending a review of his statements and research. Six weeks later, Jones retired from the university.<sup>[52]</sup> Skepticism arose as to the scientific claims made by Jones and the efficacy of the peer-review process involved in the publication of his hypotheses, and conspiracy theorists responded to criticism by positing still more elaborate ostensible cover-ups.<sup>[53]</sup>

In the same year, 61 legislators in the U.S. State of Wisconsin signed a petition calling for the dismissal of a University of Wisconsin lecturer Kevin Barrett, after he joined the group Scholars for 9/11 Truth. Citing academic freedom, the university provost declined to take action against Barrett.<sup>[54][55][56]</sup>

Several organizations of family members of people who have died in the attacks are calling for an independent investigation into the attacks.<sup>[57]</sup> In 2009, a group of people, including 9/11 Truth movement activist Lorie Van Auken and others who have lost friends or relatives in the attack, appealed to the City of New York to investigate the disaster. *The New York City Coalition for Accountability Now* collected signatures to require the New York City Council to place the creation of an investigating commission on the November 2009 election ballot.<sup>[58]</sup> The group collected more than enough signatures to put the proposal before the voters, but New York Supreme Court Justice Edward Lehner stated that the petition overstepped what is allowable by city law, and ruled that, despite wording in the petition to allow for elements ruled invalid to be stricken, it would not be allowed to appear on the ballot.<sup>[59][60]</sup>

## 9/11 Commission Report reaction

According to some adherents of the 9/11 Truth movement, many of the questions that the 9/11 Family Steering Committee put to the 9/11 Commission, chaired by former New Jersey Governor Thomas Kean, were not asked in either the hearings nor in the Commission Report.<sup>[61]</sup> Lorie Van Auken, one of the Jersey Girls, estimates that only 30% of their questions were answered in the final 9/11 Commission Report, published July 22, 2004.

The 9/11 Family Steering Committee produced a website summarizing the questions they had raised to the Commission, indicating which they believe had been answered satisfactorily, which they believe had been addressed but not answered satisfactorily, and which they believe had been generally ignored in or omitted from the Report.<sup>[62]</sup>

In addition, *The 9/11 Commission Report: Omissions and Distortions* written by David Ray Griffin, claimed the report had either omitted information or distorted the truth, providing 115 examples of his allegations.<sup>[63][64][65]</sup> He has characterized the 9/11 Commission Report as "a 571-page lie".<sup>[66]</sup>

On May 26, 2008 adjunct religious studies professor Blair Gadsby began a protest and a hunger strike outside the offices of Senator and Republican Party nominee for President John McCain's office requesting McCain meet with the principal scientists and leaders of the 9/11 Truth movement, specifically Richard Gage, Steven Jones, and David Ray Griffin. McCain had written the foreword to the book *Debunking 9/11 Myths: Why Conspiracy Theories Can't Stand Up to the Facts*, published by the magazine Popular Mechanics.<sup>[67]</sup>

Arizona Republican State Senator Karen Johnson joined the protest in support. On June 10, Johnson with Gadsby as her guest and other 9/11 Truth movement members in the audience, spoke before the Arizona State Senate espousing the controlled demolition theory and supporting a reopening of the 9/11 investigation.<sup>[14][67]</sup> In response to a question, McCain said he did not meet with Gadsby "because I don't take well to threats."<sup>[68]</sup>

## NIST Report reaction

Following the initial government investigation, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Report (May 2002) NIST Report, numerous responses were written by members of the 9/11 Truth movement. Many of these responses claimed that it ignored key evidence suggesting an explosive demolition, "distorted reality" by using deceptive language and diagrams, and attacked straw man arguments, such as the 2005 article by Jim Hoffman entitled *Building a better mirage: NIST's 3-year \$20,000,000 Cover Up of the Crime of the Century*.<sup>[75]</sup>

In late 2005, Steven E. Jones, then a professor at Brigham Young University, announced a paper criticizing the NIST Report and describing his hypothesis that the WTC towers had been intentionally demolished by explosives. This paper garnered some mainstream media attention, including an appearance by Jones on MSNBC. This was the first such programming on a major cable news station. Jones was criticized by his university for making his claims public before vetting them through the approved peer review process. He was placed on paid leave and has since retired.<sup>[52][76][77]</sup>

Accordingly, in April 2007, some 9/11 victims' family members and some members of the new *Scholars for 9/11 Truth and Justice* submitted an additional request for correction to NIST, containing their own views on the defects in the report.<sup>[78]</sup> NIST responded to this request in September 2007 supporting their original conclusions;<sup>[79]</sup> the originators of the request wrote back to them in October 2007, asking them to reconsider their response.

## Pamphlets at National September 11 Museum

Members of the movement distributed pamphlets that they say told the "truth" about the attacks at the National September 11 Memorial & Museum when the Museum opened in May 2014.<sup>[80]</sup>

## Organizations

Since the publication of the official reports, a number of interconnected 9/11 Truth movement organizations have been formed.

### Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth

Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth is an organization of architectural and engineering professionals<sup>[81]</sup> who support the World Trade Center controlled demolition conspiracy theories and are calling for a new investigation into the destruction of the Twin Towers and WTC 7.<sup>[10][82]</sup> The group is collecting signatures for a petition to the United States Congress that demands "a truly independent investigation with subpoena power" of the September 11 attacks, which, according to the organization, should include an inquiry into the possible use of explosives in the destruction of the World Trade Center buildings.<sup>[83][84]</sup> Richard Gage, a San Francisco Bay area-based architect,<sup>[85]</sup> founded *Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth* in 2006.<sup>[2][86]</sup>



An iron-rich sphere, found in the dust of the World Trade Center, as documented by the United States Geological Survey and RJ LeeGroup, Inc. RJ Lee's report states the spheres are indicative of molten iron.<sup>[69][70]</sup> Members of the 9/11 Truth movement claim the spheres indicate the presence of temperatures much hotter than office fires, or the presence of thermitic reactions.<sup>[71][72][73]</sup> However, such spheres have been found to form when iron particles are affected by normal fuel fires.<sup>[74]</sup>

Investigations by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the National Institute for Standards and Technology (NIST) have concluded that the buildings collapsed as a result of the impacts of the planes and of the fires that resulted from them.<sup>[51][87]</sup> Gage criticized the government agency NIST for not having investigated the complete sequence of the collapse of the World Trade Center towers<sup>[88]</sup> and claims "the official explanation of the total destruction of the World Trade Center skyscrapers has explicitly failed to address the massive evidence for explosive demolition."<sup>[89]</sup> To support its position, the group Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth points to the "free fall" pace of the collapse of the buildings, the "lateral ejection of steel", and to the "mid-air pulverization of concrete", among other things.<sup>[90]</sup>



Two people holding a banner of Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth

## 9/11 Truth

*9/11 Truth* was launched in June 2004 and has become a central portal for many 9/11 Truth movement organizations. It is run by Janice Matthews (Executive Director),<sup>[91][92]</sup> David Kubiak (International Campaign Advisor)<sup>[93]</sup> and Mike Berger (Media Coordinator),<sup>[94]</sup> among others, and its advisory board includes Steven E. Jones and Barrie Zwicker.<sup>[95]</sup>

The organization co-sponsored opinion polls conducted by the U.S. market research and opinion polling firm Zogby International that have shown substantial numbers of people believing the government did not tell the full truth about the September 11 attacks.

## Scholars for 9/11 Truth

The original *Scholars for 9/11 Truth* was founded by James H. Fetzer, a former philosophy professor, and physicist Steven E. Jones, in December 2005. It was a group of people of varying backgrounds and expertise who rejected the mainstream media and government account of the September 11 attacks.<sup>[3][96]</sup> Initially the group invited many ideas and hypotheses to be considered. However, leading members soon came to feel that the inclusion of some theories advocated by Fetzer — such as the use of directed energy weapons or miniature nuclear bombs to destroy the Twin Towers—were insufficiently supported by evidence and were exposing the group to ridicule. By December 2006, Jones and others set up a new scholars group, Scholars for 9/11 Truth and Justice, whose focus is to use scientific analysis.<sup>[97]</sup> The original members took a vote on which group to join and the majority voted to move to the new group.<sup>[98]</sup>

## Scholars for 9/11 Truth & Justice

Scholars for 9/11 Truth & Justice (STJ) formed in January 2007 and is a self-described "group of scholars and supporters endeavoring to address the unanswered questions of the September 11, 2001 attack through scientific research and public education".<sup>[99]</sup> The group is composed of more than 900 members,<sup>[100]</sup> including Richard Gage, Steven E. Jones, Jim Hoffman, David Ray Griffin, Peter Phillips, and former Congressman Daniel Hamburg. Most members support the conspiracy theory that the World Trade Center Towers and the third skyscraper, WTC 7, were destroyed through explosive demolition.

In 2008 and 2009, several members of the Scholars for 9/11 Truth & Justice published essays in science and engineering journals. In April 2008, a letter by some of its members, was published in *The Open Civil Engineering Journal*.<sup>[101]</sup> In July 2008, an article by Steven E. Jones and others was published in *The Environmentalist*.<sup>[102]</sup>

In October 2008, a comment by STJ member James R. Gourley describing what he considers fundamental errors in a Bažant and Verdure paper was included in an issue of the *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*.<sup>[103]</sup> In April 2009, Danish chemist and STJ member Niels H. Harrit, of the University of Copenhagen, and eight other authors, including some STJ members, published a paper in *The Open Chemical Physics Journal*, entitled *Active Thermitic Material Discovered in Dust from the 9/11 World Trade Center Catastrophe*. The paper, which caused the editor and only peer-reviewer, Professor Pileni, to resign, claiming it was published without her knowledge,<sup>[104]</sup> concludes that chips consisting of unreacted and partially reacted nano-thermite ("super-thermite") appear to be present in samples of the dust.<sup>[72][105]</sup>

## 9/11 Citizens Watch

9/11 Citizens Watch was formed in 2002 by John Judge and Kyle Hence and, along with the Family Steering Committee, played an active role in calling for the establishment of the 9/11 Commission, and monitoring the commission closely.<sup>[106]</sup>

## 9/11 Commission Campaign

Founded in 2011 by Senator Mike Gravel, the 9/11 Commission Campaign's objective is to enact subpoena-capable, state-level commissions through state ballot initiatives, namely in Oregon, Alaska and California.<sup>[107]</sup> These commissions are envisioned as citizen-driven, independent organizations that would form a semi-unified grassroots national presence by exercising joint powers authority.

## Hispanic Victims Group

The Hispanic Victims Group is a group created after the 9/11 attacks, founded by William Rodriguez,<sup>[108]</sup> a supporter of the 9/11 Truth movement. The group was one of the key forces behind the creation of the 9/11 Commission.<sup>[106]</sup> William Rodriguez, as founder of the group, was a member of the Families Advisory Council for the Lower Manhattan Development Corporation (LMDC).<sup>[109]</sup>



William Rodriguez at American Scholars Symposium: 9/11 and the NeoCon Agenda in Los Angeles, California, June 24–25, 2006

## Conferences

Members of the 9/11 truth organizations, such as 911truth.org and Scholars for 9/11 Truth and Justice, held meetings and conferences to discuss ongoing research about 9/11 and to strategize about how best to achieve their goals during the early 2000s and 2010s. Many of these conferences are organized by 911truth.org, and some have been covered by the international media.<sup>[110]</sup>

## Opponents

Matt Taibbi of *Rolling Stone* assessed that the movement "gives supporters of Bush an excuse to dismiss critics of this administration", and expressed concerns about the number of people who believe in 9/11 conspiracy theories.<sup>[111]</sup>

Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) engineering professor Thomas W. Eagar was at first unwilling to acknowledge the concerns of the movement, saying that "if (the argument) gets too mainstream, I'll engage in the debate". In response to Steven E. Jones' publication of a hypothesis that the World Trade Center was destroyed by

controlled demolition, Eagar said that adherents of the 9/11 Truth movement would use the reverse scientific method to arrive at their conclusions, as they "determine what happened, throw out all the data that doesn't fit their conclusion, and then hail their findings as the only possible conclusion".<sup>[112]</sup>

Calling conspiracy theorists "the truthers", Bill Moyers has quoted journalist Robert Parry by stating that the theorists "...threw out all the evidence of al-Qaeda's involvement, from contemporaneous calls from hijack victims on the planes to confessions from al-Qaeda leaders both in and out of captivity that they had indeed done it. Then, recycling some of the right's sophistry techniques, such as using long lists of supposed evidence to overcome the lack of any real evidence, the 'truthers' cherry-picked a few supposed 'anomalies' to build an 'inside-job' story line".<sup>[113]</sup>

Al-Qaeda has sharply criticized Iran's ex-president, Mahmoud Ahmadinejad, over his suggestions that the U.S. government was behind the September 11 attacks, dismissing his comments as "ridiculous".<sup>[114]</sup>

Some skeptics<sup>[115]</sup> —who oppose conspiracy as the *a priori* explanation to events, and who find most of the questions posed by the Truthers to be either easily answered<sup>[116]</sup> or based on misleading or false ideas<sup>[117]</sup>—have claimed that some of the Truthers are knowingly disseminating false information with no care for the grieving families, and have accordingly called them "disrespectful" or even "sickos".<sup>[118]</sup>

## Media

---

### Books

The theologian David Ray Griffin has written several books on this subject. *The New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions about the Bush Administration and 9/11* (March 2004) claims to outline a methodical, deductive framework for researching 9/11. Along with *The 9/11 Commission Report: Omissions and Distortions* (October 2004), both became best-sellers.<sup>[119]</sup>

Griffin's *Debunking 9/11 Debunking* (May 2007) looks at the way magazines such as *Popular Mechanics* have sought to debunk the alternative 9/11 theories.<sup>[120]</sup> His 2008 book, *The New Pearl Harbor Revisited: 9/11, the cover-up, and the exposé*, was written to update his original book, *The New Pearl Harbor*, reflecting information and insights from five major developments that have occurred since his original publication,<sup>[121]</sup> while *The Mysterious Collapse of World Trade Center 7: Why the Final Official Report About 9/11 Is Unscientific and False*, published in 2009, examines the credibility of the official investigations into and hypotheses about the destruction of the third skyscraper, WTC 7, focusing on the final official report published in November 2008.<sup>[122]</sup>

In September 2004, the interactive "Complete 9/11 Timeline" website by Paul Thompson, a collection of mainstream media reports presented chronologically, was made into the book, entitled *The Terror Timeline*.<sup>[123]</sup>

### Films

Films made by people associated with the 9/11 Truth movement include:

- *The Great Conspiracy: The 9/11 News Special You Never Saw* (2005) by Barrie Zwicker<sup>[124]</sup>
- *Martial Law 9/11: Rise of the Police State* (2005) by Alex Jones<sup>[125]</sup>
- *9/11: Press for Truth* (2006) by Ray Nowosielski<sup>[126]</sup>
- *911 Mysteries Part 1: Demolitions* (2006) by Sofia Shafquat<sup>[127]</sup>
- *Zeitgeist: The Movie (part ii)* (2007) by Peter Joseph
- *9/11: Blueprint for Truth* (2007) and updated *9/11: Blueprint for Truth - The Architecture of Destruction* (2008)<sup>[128]</sup> by Richard Gage
- *The New American Century* (2007) by Massimo Mazzucco<sup>[129]</sup>



- *Loose Change: An American Coup* (2009) by Dylan Avery
- *New World Order* (2009) by Luke Meyer and Andrew Neel
- *9/11: Explosive Evidence - Experts Speak Out* (2012) by Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth <sup>[130]</sup>
- *September 11: The New Pearl Harbor* (2013), a 5-hour documentary by Massimo Mazzucco <sup>[131]</sup>
- David Hooper's *The Anatomy of a Great Deception* (2014) <sup>[132]</sup>

## Details

These documentaries present a range of alternative theories about how the attacks might have been carried out:

- *9/11 Press for Truth* (2006) documents the struggle by the Jersey Widows to open a full investigation of the events, and their frustration while monitoring the 9/11 Commission as part of the Family Steering Committee.
- Alex Jones, 9/11 and New World Order conspiracy theorists are the subject of the documentary film *New World Order*, directed by Luke Meyer and Andrew Neel, that debuted on the Independent Film Channel on May 26, 2009. The documentary, while not endorsing the movement, is described as giving the movement "more sympathetic, or less critical, airing than they've yet had (except among the converted)".<sup>[133][134]</sup>

## See also

- Collapse of the World Trade Center
- 7 World Trade Center

## References

1. Feuer, Alan (June 5, 2006). "500 Conspiracy Buffs Meet to Seek the Truth of 9/11" (<https://www.nytimes.com/2006/06/05/us/05conspiracy.html>). *New York Times*. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20110512222259/http://www.nytimes.com/2006/06/05/us/05conspiracy.html>) from the original on May 12, 2011. Retrieved May 24, 2009. "the movement known as "9/11 Truth", a society of skeptics and scientists who believe the government was complicit in the terrorist attacks."
2. Rudin, Mike (July 4, 2008). "The evolution of a conspiracy theory" ([http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/low/uk\\_news/magazine/7488159.stm](http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/low/uk_news/magazine/7488159.stm)). BBC. Retrieved May 23, 2009.
3. Barber, Peter (June 7, 2008). "The truth is out there" (<https://www.ft.com/cms/s/2/8d66e778-3128-11dd-ab22-000077b07658.html>). *Financial Times*. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090603164130/http://www.ft.com/cms/s/2/8d66e778-3128-11dd-ab22-000077b07658.html>) from the original on June 3, 2009. Retrieved May 23, 2009. "an army of sceptics, collectively described as the 9/11 Truth movement"
4. Powell, Michael (September 8, 2006). "The Disbelievers" ([https://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/09/07/AR2006090701669\\_pf.html](https://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/09/07/AR2006090701669_pf.html)). *Washington Post*. Retrieved May 30, 2009. "The loose agglomeration known as the '9/11 Truth Movement'"
5. Barry, Ellen (September 10, 2006). "9/11 Conspiracy Theorists Gather in N.Y." (<http://articles.latimes.com/2006/sep/10/nation/na-conspiracy10>) *Los Angeles Times*. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090617183636/http://articles.latimes.com/2006/sep/10/nation/na-conspiracy10>) from the original on June 17, 2009. Retrieved May 30, 2009. "a group known as the 9/11 Truth Movement"
6. Hunt, H.E. (November 19, 2008). "The 30 greatest conspiracy theories - part 1" (<https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/newstopics/howaboutthat/3483477/The-30-greatest-conspiracy-theories-part-1.html>). *Daily Telegraph*. London, UK. Retrieved May 30, 2009. "A large group of people - collectively called the 9/11 Truth Movement"
7. Kay, Jonathan (April 25, 2009). "Richard Gage: 9/11 truther extraordinaire" (<https://web.archive.org/web/20100701172048/http://www.financialpost.com/scripts/story.html?id=f54cf9ee-4637-44de-8819-19d918b3241b&k=21893>). *Financial Post*. Archived from the original (<http://www.financialpost.com/scripts/story.html?id=f54cf9ee-4637-44de-8819-19d918b3241b&k=21893>) on July 1, 2010. Retrieved August 4, 2010. "The '9/11 Truth Movement,' as it is now commonly called"

8. Ravensbergen, Jan (May 2, 2010). ["9/11 skeptics to speak at UQAM"](https://web.archive.org/web/20100504062139/http://www.montrealgazette.com/news/skeptics%2Bspeaks%2BUQAM/2976659/story.html) (<https://web.archive.org/web/20100504062139/http://www.montrealgazette.com/news/skeptics%2Bspeaks%2BUQAM/2976659/story.html>). *Montreal Gazette*. Archived from the original (<https://montrealgazette.com/news/skeptics+speaks+UQAM/2976659/story.html>) on May 4, 2010. Retrieved May 3, 2010. "two leading voices of what's known as the 9/11 truth movement"
9. Morales, Frank (June 11, 2009). ["9/11 Truth comes home; Pols back new investigation"](https://web.archive.org/web/20090614224623/http://www.thevillager.com/villager_319/talkingpoint.html) ([https://web.archive.org/web/20090614224623/http://www.thevillager.com/villager\\_319/talkingpoint.html](https://web.archive.org/web/20090614224623/http://www.thevillager.com/villager_319/talkingpoint.html)). *Villager*. Archived from the original ([http://www.thevillager.com/villager\\_319/talkingpoint.html](http://www.thevillager.com/villager_319/talkingpoint.html)) on June 14, 2009. Retrieved June 21, 2009.
10. Olivier, Clint (May 26, 2009). ["Controversial Group Re-Examines 9/11 In Clovis"](https://web.archive.org/web/20090912013237/http://www.kmph.com/Global/story.asp?S=10427820&nav=menu612_2_2) ([https://web.archive.org/web/20090912013237/http://www.kmph.com/Global/story.asp?S=10427820&nav=menu612\\_2\\_2](https://web.archive.org/web/20090912013237/http://www.kmph.com/Global/story.asp?S=10427820&nav=menu612_2_2)). KMPH Fox News. Archived from the original ([http://www.kmph.com/Global/story.asp?S=10427820&nav=menu612\\_2\\_2](http://www.kmph.com/Global/story.asp?S=10427820&nav=menu612_2_2)) on September 12, 2009. Retrieved May 28, 2009.
11. Lake, Eli (April 10, 2008). ["U.N. Official Calls for Study Of Neocons' Role in 9/11"](http://www.nysun.com/news/foreign/un-official-calls-study-neocons-role-911) (<http://www.nysun.com/news/foreign/un-official-calls-study-neocons-role-911>). *New York Sun*. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090529075002/http://nysun.com/news/foreign/un-official-calls-study-neocons-role-911>) from the original on May 29, 2009. Retrieved June 21, 2009.
12. ["Citizens Petition New York Attorney General to Open 9-11 Inquiry"](http://www.ens-newswire.com/ens/oct2004/2004-10-29-06.asp) (<http://www.ens-newswire.com/ens/oct2004/2004-10-29-06.asp>). Environment News Service. October 29, 2004. Retrieved June 21, 2009.
13. Siegel, Jefferson (June 18, 2008). ["'Pentagon Papers senator' calls for new 9/11 probe"](https://web.archive.org/web/20090912171656/http://www.thevillager.com/villager_268/pentagonpapers.html) ([https://web.archive.org/web/20090912171656/http://www.thevillager.com/villager\\_268/pentagonpapers.html](https://web.archive.org/web/20090912171656/http://www.thevillager.com/villager_268/pentagonpapers.html)). *Villager*. Archived from the original ([http://www.thevillager.com/villager\\_268/pentagonpapers.html](http://www.thevillager.com/villager_268/pentagonpapers.html)) on September 12, 2009. Retrieved June 21, 2009.
14. ["Sen. Karen Johnson's floor speech about 9/11"](http://eastvalleytribune.com/article_6294b160-5bf3-5925-b34b-856202b2f397.html) ([http://eastvalleytribune.com/article\\_6294b160-5bf3-5925-b34b-856202b2f397.html](http://eastvalleytribune.com/article_6294b160-5bf3-5925-b34b-856202b2f397.html)). *East Valley Tribune*. June 9, 2008. Retrieved December 13, 2010.
15. Sutton, Tori (February 18, 2010). ["Seeking the truth about 9/11"](http://www.stratfordgazette.com/stratford/article/86274) (<http://www.stratfordgazette.com/stratford/article/86274>). *Stratford Gazette*. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20100324192812/http://www.stratfordgazette.com/stratford/article/86274>) from the original on March 24, 2010. Retrieved February 19, 2010.
16. Manjoo, Farhad (June 27, 2006). ["The 9/11 deniers"](https://web.archive.org/web/20091127063119/http://www.salon.com/ent/feature/2006/06/27/911_conspiracies) ([https://web.archive.org/web/20091127063119/http://www.salon.com/ent/feature/2006/06/27/911\\_conspiracies](https://web.archive.org/web/20091127063119/http://www.salon.com/ent/feature/2006/06/27/911_conspiracies)). Salon. Archived from the original ([http://www.salon.com/ent/feature/2006/06/27/911\\_conspiracies](http://www.salon.com/ent/feature/2006/06/27/911_conspiracies)) on November 27, 2009. Retrieved September 19, 2010.
17. *Debunking 9/11 Myths: Why Conspiracy Theories Can't Stand Up to the Facts*, by David Dunbar and Brad Reagan, Sterling Publishing Company, Inc., 2006, p.x-xix et passim
18. Bunch, Sonny (September 24, 2007). ["The Truthers Are Out There"](http://www.weeklystandard.com/Content/Public/Articles/000/000/014/113zubvo.asp) (<http://www.weeklystandard.com/Content/Public/Articles/000/000/014/113zubvo.asp>). *Weekly Standard*. Retrieved September 19, 2011.
19. Kennedy, Gene (September 8, 2006). ["BYU Professor on Paid Leave for 9-11 Theory"](http://www.ksl.com/?nid=148&sid=476951) (<http://www.ksl.com/?nid=148&sid=476951>). KSL TV. "Jones is a physics professor involved in what's called the "9-11 Truth Movement"."
20. Molé, Phil (2006). ["9/11 Conspiracy Theories: The 9/11 Truth Movement Perspective"](http://www.skeptic.com/eskptic/06-09-11) (<http://www.skeptic.com/eskptic/06-09-11>). *Skeptic*. 12 (4). Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090606001336/http://www.skeptic.com/eskptic/06-09-11>) from the original on June 6, 2009. Retrieved June 2, 2009. "a larger coalition known as the "9/11 Truth Movement""
21. Sales, Nancy Jo (August 2006). ["Click Here for Conspiracy"](http://www.vanityfair.com/ontheweb/features/2006/08/loosechange200608) (<http://www.vanityfair.com/ontheweb/features/2006/08/loosechange200608>). *Vanity Fair*. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090530062159/http://www.vanityfair.com/ontheweb/features/2006/08/loosechange200608>) from the original on May 30, 2009. Retrieved June 2, 2009. "a nationwide collection of doubters known as the "9/11 Truth" movement"
22. Grossman, Lev (September 3, 2006). ["Why the 9/11 Conspiracy Theories Won't Go Away"](http://www.time.com/time/magazine/article/0,9171,1531304,00.html) (<http://www.time.com/time/magazine/article/0,9171,1531304,00.html>). *Time*.
23. Harvey, Adam (September 3, 2006). ["9/11 myths busted"](http://www.news.com.au/couriermail/story/0,23739,20341165-5007191,00.html) (<http://www.news.com.au/couriermail/story/0,23739,20341165-5007191,00.html>). *Courier Mail*. The Sunday Mail (Qld).
24. ["Conspiracy theories: The Speculation"](http://www.cbc.ca/fifth/conspiracytheories/speculation.html) (<http://www.cbc.ca/fifth/conspiracytheories/speculation.html>). CBC. October 29, 2003. Retrieved June 2, 2009.
25. Curiel, Jonathan (September 3, 2006). ["The Conspiracy to Rewrite 9/11"](http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2006/09/03/INGR0KRCBA1.DTL) (<http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2006/09/03/INGR0KRCBA1.DTL>). *San Francisco Chronicle*. Retrieved June 2, 2009.

26. Gravois, John (June 23, 2006). ["Professors of Paranoia?"](http://chronicle.com/free/v52/i42/42a01001.htm) (<http://chronicle.com/free/v52/i42/42a01001.htm>). *The Chronicle of Higher Education*. Retrieved September 19, 2011.
27. *Star Tribune*: Newspaper of the Twin Cities (Minneapolis, MN) - September 6, 2006 Author: Bob von Sternberg ; Staff Writer Edition: METRO Section: NEWS Page: 1A.
28. ["Culture Of Conspiracy: The Birthers"](http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2009/03/01/politics/politico/main4836526.shtml) (<http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2009/03/01/politics/politico/main4836526.shtml>). CBS News. March 1, 2009.
29. ["A leading Japanese politician espouses a 9/11 fantasy"](https://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/03/07/AR2010030702354.html) (<https://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2010/03/07/AR2010030702354.html>). *Washington Post*. March 8, 2010.
30. *Conspiracy Theories: Secrecy and Power in American Culture*. Amazon.com. 2008. ISBN 978-0816654949.
31. Jonas, Jillian (January 25, 2004). ["Analysis: Challenge by 'honest Republican'"](http://www.upi.com/Business_News/Security-Industry/2004/01/25/Analysis-Challenge-by-honest-Republican/UPI-77191075046442) ([http://www.upi.com/Business\\_News/Security-Industry/2004/01/25/Analysis-Challenge-by-honest-Republican/UPI-77191075046442](http://www.upi.com/Business_News/Security-Industry/2004/01/25/Analysis-Challenge-by-honest-Republican/UPI-77191075046442)). United Press International. Retrieved May 29, 2011.
32. Buchanan, John. ["Is George Bush guilty of treason?"](https://web.archive.org/web/20040326051601/http://johnbuchanan.org/news/newsitem.php?section=INF&id=1504&showcat=4) (<https://web.archive.org/web/20040326051601/http://johnbuchanan.org/news/newsitem.php?section=INF&id=1504&showcat=4>). Archived from the original (<http://johnbuchanan.org/news/newsitem.php?section=INF&id=1504&showcat=4>) on March 26, 2004. Retrieved September 19, 2011. "On September 1, 2000, before Mr. Bush took office, the Project for a New American Century proposed the invasions, without provocation or attack, of Afghanistan and Iraq. The motive? 'to protect America's oil interests.' The signatories to that sinister plan - Dick Cheney, Paul Wolfowitz, and Richard Perle, to name but a few – cleverly and dishonorably set the stage for all that would follow, including the horrifying spectacle of 9/11, when they noted that since well-fed and materially-comfortable Americans would lack the will and focus to fight such 'interventionist' wars - now known as 'The Bush Doctrine' - there must be a galvanizing incident on the order of Pearl Harbor."
33. ["Jeff Boss's name is in box F10"](http://www.co.gloucester.nj.us/civica/filebank/blobload.asp?BlobID=5945) (<http://www.co.gloucester.nj.us/civica/filebank/blobload.asp?BlobID=5945>). co.gloucester.nj.us.
34. Bartlett, Jamie; Miller, Carl (2011). ["A Bestiary of the 9/11 Truth Movement: Notes from the Front Line"](https://web.archive.org/web/20121001051753/http://www.csicop.org/si/show/a_bestiary_of_the_9_11_truth_movement_notes_from_the_front_line) ([https://web.archive.org/web/20121001051753/http://www.csicop.org/si/show/a\\_bestiary\\_of\\_the\\_9\\_11\\_truth\\_movement\\_notes\\_from\\_the\\_front\\_line](https://web.archive.org/web/20121001051753/http://www.csicop.org/si/show/a_bestiary_of_the_9_11_truth_movement_notes_from_the_front_line)). *Skeptical Inquirer*. 35.4 (July/August): 43–46. Archived from the original ([http://www.csicop.org/si/show/a\\_bestiary\\_of\\_the\\_9\\_11\\_truth\\_movement\\_notes\\_from\\_the\\_front\\_line](http://www.csicop.org/si/show/a_bestiary_of_the_9_11_truth_movement_notes_from_the_front_line)) on October 1, 2012. Retrieved September 27, 2012.
35. Sullivan, Will (September 3, 2006). ["Viewing 9/11 From a Grassy Knoll - You won't believe what the conspiracy theorists are claiming-or will you?"](https://web.archive.org/web/20090422134537/http://www.usnews.com/usnews/news/articles/060903/11conspiracy_2.htm) ([https://web.archive.org/web/20090422134537/http://www.usnews.com/usnews/news/articles/060903/11conspiracy\\_2.htm](https://web.archive.org/web/20090422134537/http://www.usnews.com/usnews/news/articles/060903/11conspiracy_2.htm)). U.S. News & World Report. Archived from the original ([https://www.usnews.com/usnews/news/articles/060903/11conspiracy\\_2.htm](https://www.usnews.com/usnews/news/articles/060903/11conspiracy_2.htm)) on April 22, 2009. Retrieved May 24, 2009.
36. Jacobson, Mark (March 20, 2006). ["The Ground Zero Grassy Knoll"](http://nymag.com/news/features/16464) (<http://nymag.com/news/features/16464>). *New York Magazine*. Retrieved June 2, 2009.
37. Manjoo, Farhad (August 7, 2008). ["The Anthrax Truth Movement"](http://www.slate.com/id/2196986) (<http://www.slate.com/id/2196986>). Slate.
38. Tobin, Hugh (May 21, 2008). ["Conspiracy theory lunacy"](http://www.abc.net.au/unleashed/stories/s2250663.htm) (<http://www.abc.net.au/unleashed/stories/s2250663.htm>). Australian Broadcasting Corporation.
39. Jones, Steven; Korol, Robert; Szamboti3, Anthony; Walter, Ted. ["15 YEARS LATER: ON THE PHYSICS OF HIGH-RISE BUILDING COLLAPSES"](http://www.europhysicsnews.org/articles/eprn/pdf/2016/04/eprn2016-47-4.pdf) (<http://www.europhysicsnews.org/articles/eprn/pdf/2016/04/eprn2016-47-4.pdf>) (PDF). *europhysicsnews - THE MAGAZINE OF THE EUROPEAN PHYSICAL SOCIETY*. 47 (7): 21–26.
40. Getler, Michael (September 17, 2012). ["The Disaster That Keeps on Giving"](https://www.pbs.org/ombudsman/2012/09/the_disaster_that_keeps_on_giving_1.html) ([https://www.pbs.org/ombudsman/2012/09/the\\_disaster\\_that\\_keeps\\_on\\_giving\\_1.html](https://www.pbs.org/ombudsman/2012/09/the_disaster_that_keeps_on_giving_1.html)). PBS. Retrieved December 3, 2012.
41. Ostrow, Joanne (August 21, 2009). ["KBDI pushes limits on controversial pledge tie-ins"](http://www.denverpost.com/ostrow/ci_13163170) ([http://www.denverpost.com/ostrow/ci\\_13163170](http://www.denverpost.com/ostrow/ci_13163170)). *Denver Post*. Retrieved December 3, 2012.
42. Brzezinski, Zbigniew (October 1, 2004). *Crossing the Rubicon: The Decline of the American Empire at the End of the Age of Oil*. New Society Publishers. ISBN 978-0865715400.
43. Ray, David (2006). ["Towers of Deception: The Media Cover-up of 9/11"](https://archive.org/details/towersofdeceptio00barr) (<https://archive.org/details/towersofdeceptio00barr>). New Society Publishers. ISBN 978-0865715738.
44. Shaffer, Anthony (2002). ["Forbidden Truth: U.S.-Taliban Secret Oil Diplomacy Saudi Arabia And The Failed Search For Bin Laden"](https://www.amazon.com/dp/B0029E9RAE) (<https://www.amazon.com/dp/B0029E9RAE>). Nation Books. Retrieved May 30, 2011.

45. Ray, David (2006). *Conspiracies, Conspiracy Theories, and the Secrets of 9/11*. Progressive Press. ISBN 978-0930852238.
46. *Die CIA und der 11. September. Internationaler Terror und die Rolle der Geheimdienste*. Piper. 2003. ISBN 978-3-492-04545-2.
47. Bush Did It: Pictures From 9/11 Protest in Oakland (<https://www.indybay.org/newsitems/2002/09/14/1486451.php>), by Z, September 14, 2002, San Francisco Bay Area Indymedia website; accessed February 26, 2014.
48. Rossmeier, Vincent (September 11, 2009). "Would you still sign the 9/11 Truth petition?" ([http://www.salon.com/news/feature/2009/09/11/truth\\_petition](http://www.salon.com/news/feature/2009/09/11/truth_petition)). *Salon*. Retrieved September 11, 2009.
49. Keating, Joshua; Downie, James (September 10, 2009). "The World's Most Persistent Conspiracy Theories" ([http://foreignpolicy.com/articles/2009/09/10/the\\_worlds\\_most\\_popular\\_conspiracy\\_theories](http://foreignpolicy.com/articles/2009/09/10/the_worlds_most_popular_conspiracy_theories)). *Foreign Policy*. Retrieved September 13, 2009.
50. Burnham, Michael (September 8, 2009). "Embattled Van Jones Quits, but 'Czar' Debates Rage On" (<https://www.nytimes.com/gwire/2009/09/08/08greenwire-embattled-van-jones-quits-but-czar-debates-rage-9373.html>). *New York Times*. Retrieved April 23, 2010.
51. Jim Dwyer (September 2, 2006). "2 U.S. Reports Seek to Counter Conspiracy Theories About 9/11" (<https://www.nytimes.com/2006/09/02/nyregion/02conspiracy.html>). *New York Times*. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20110512221337/http://www.nytimes.com/2006/09/02/nyregion/02conspiracy.html>) from the original on May 12, 2011. Retrieved April 30, 2009.
52. Walch, Tad (September 8, 2006). "BYU places '9/11 truth' professor on paid leave" (<http://www.deseretnews.com/article/1,5143,645199800,00.html>). *Deseret Morning News*. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090108144212/http://deseretnews.com/article/1%2C5143%2C645199800%2C00.html>) from the original on January 8, 2009. Retrieved January 4, 2009. Sullivan, Will (September 11, 2006). "BYU takes on a 9/11 conspiracy professor" (<http://web.archive.org/web/20090430003625/http://www.usnews.com/usnews/news/articles/060911/11conspiracy.htm>). *U.S. News & World Report*. Archived from the original (<https://www.usnews.com/usnews/news/articles/060911/11conspiracy.htm>) on April 30, 2009. Retrieved April 26, 2009. "BYU Professor Who Believes WTC Brought Down by Explosives Resigns" (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090620085444/http://www.foxnews.com/story/0%2C2933%2C223318%2C00.html>). *Fox News*. October 21, 2006. Archived from the original (<http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,223318,00.html>) on June 20, 2009. Retrieved May 15, 2009. Walch, Tad (October 22, 2006). "BYU professor in dispute over 9/11 will retire" (<http://deseretnews.com/dn/view/0,1249,650200587,00.html>). *Deseret Morning News*. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090531100558/http://www.deseretnews.com/dn/view/0%2C1249%2C650200587%2C00.html>) from the original on May 31, 2009. Retrieved May 15, 2009. "Steven E. Jones. Retired Professor" (<http://www.physics.byu.edu/research/energy>). Brigham Young University. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090422055623/http://www.physics.byu.edu/research/energy>) from the original on April 22, 2009. Retrieved May 6, 2009.
53. The Theory vs. the Facts ([http://www.slate.com/articles/news\\_and\\_politics/trutherism/2011/09/the\\_theory\\_vs\\_the\\_facts.html](http://www.slate.com/articles/news_and_politics/trutherism/2011/09/the_theory_vs_the_facts.html)), *Slate*, Jeremy Stahl, September 7, 2011. Retrieved August 20, 2018.
54. Ruethling, Gretchen (August 1, 2006). "A Skeptic on 9/11 Prompts Questions on Academic Freedom" (<https://www.nytimes.com/2006/08/01/education/01madison.html>). *New York Times*. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20121110164623/http://www.nytimes.com/2006/08/01/education/01madison.html>) from the original on November 10, 2012. Retrieved May 17, 2009.
55. "Wisconsin academic: 9/11 report a fraud" (<http://edition.cnn.com/CNN/Programs/anderson.cooper.360/blog/2006/11/wisconsin-academic-911-report-fraud.html>). *CNN*. November 20, 2006. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090604004531/http://edition.cnn.com/CNN/Programs/anderson.cooper.360/blog/2006/11/wisconsin-academic-911-report-fraud.html>) from the original on June 4, 2009. Retrieved May 7, 2009.
56. Asquith, Christina (September 5, 2006). "Who really blew up the twin towers?" (<https://www.theguardian.com/education/2006/sep/05/internationaleducationnews.highereducation>). *The Guardian*. London, UK. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090501185220/http://www.guardian.co.uk/education/2006/sep/05/internationaleducationnews.highereducation>) from the original on May 1, 2009. Retrieved May 6, 2009.
57. Diffalah, Sarah (July 23, 2009). "11/09: le crash du Pentagone toujours contesté". *Nouvel Observateur*.
58. "Group calls for renewed Sept. 11 probe" ([http://www.upi.com/Top\\_News/2009/08/10/Group-calls-for-renewed-Sept-11-probe/UPI-81561249924847](http://www.upi.com/Top_News/2009/08/10/Group-calls-for-renewed-Sept-11-probe/UPI-81561249924847)). United Press International. August 10, 2009. Retrieved August 12, 2009.

59. ["New York Judges Fight New Investigation of 9/11"](http://www.salem-news.com/articles/october132009/911_review_10-13-09.php) ([http://www.salem-news.com/articles/october132009/911\\_review\\_10-13-09.php](http://www.salem-news.com/articles/october132009/911_review_10-13-09.php)). Salem-News.com. October 13, 2009. Retrieved July 27, 2010.
60. ["Christopher Burke, et. al against Michael McSweeney, City Clerk, and the Board of Elections, City of New York"](https://www.scribd.com/doc/21193128/09-10-09-Lehner-Order) (<https://www.scribd.com/doc/21193128/09-10-09-Lehner-Order>). *Supreme Court of the State of New York*. scribd.com. October 8, 2009. Retrieved July 27, 2010.
61. de Vries, Lloyd (July 20, 2004). ["9/11 Report: The Open Question"](http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2004/07/21/iraq/main630797.shtml) (<http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2004/07/21/iraq/main630797.shtml>). CBS News. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090603175951/http://www.cbsnews.com/stories/2004/07/21/iraq/main630797.shtml>) from the original on June 3, 2009. Retrieved June 1, 2009.
62. ["The Family Steering Committee"](http://www.911independentcommission.org) (<http://www.911independentcommission.org>). 911independentcommission.org. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20110606114246/http://911independentcommission.org/>) from the original on June 6, 2011. Retrieved May 30, 2011.
63. Harmanci, Reyhan (March 30, 2006). ["An inside job?"](http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2006/03/30/NSGB3HTBQ61.DTL) (<http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2006/03/30/NSGB3HTBQ61.DTL>). The San Francisco Chronicle. Retrieved September 17, 2009.
64. Abrams, Joseph (July 15, 2008). ["Critics Demand Resignation of U.N. Official Who Wants Probe of 9/11 'Inside Job' Theories"](https://web.archive.org/web/20090805030754/http://www.foxnews.com/story/0%2C2933%2C369122%2C00.html) (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090805030754/http://www.foxnews.com/story/0%2C2933%2C369122%2C00.html>). Fox News. Archived from the original (<http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,369122,00.html>) on August 5, 2009. Retrieved September 17, 2009.
65. Bhaerman, Steve (June 14–20, 2006). ["Unquestioned Answers"](http://www.bohemian.com/bohemian/06.14.06/david-ray-griffin-0624.html) (<http://www.bohemian.com/bohemian/06.14.06/david-ray-griffin-0624.html>). Bohemian. Retrieved September 17, 2009.
66. Solomon, Evan (August 25, 2006). ["9/11: Truth, Lies and Conspiracy"](https://web.archive.org/web/20080611112401/http://www.cbc.ca/sunday/911griffin.html) (<https://web.archive.org/web/20080611112401/http://www.cbc.ca/sunday/911griffin.html>). CBC News. Archived from the original (<http://www.cbc.ca/sunday/911griffin.html>) on June 11, 2008. Retrieved September 17, 2009.
67. ["Lawmaker asks McCain to talk with 9/11 theorists"](http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/local/articles/0604johnson0604.html) (<http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/local/articles/0604johnson0604.html>). Arizona Republic. June 3, 2008. Retrieved May 30, 2011.
68. ["McCain doesn't want to impeach Bush"](http://rawstory.com/news/2008/McCain_doesnt_want_to_impeach_Bush_0626.html) ([http://rawstory.com/news/2008/McCain\\_doesnt\\_want\\_to\\_impeach\\_Bush\\_0626.html](http://rawstory.com/news/2008/McCain_doesnt_want_to_impeach_Bush_0626.html)). Rawstory.com. June 26, 2008. Archived ([https://web.archive.org/web/20110604002804/http://rawstory.com/news/2008/McCain\\_doesnt\\_want\\_to\\_impeach\\_Bush\\_0626.html](https://web.archive.org/web/20110604002804/http://rawstory.com/news/2008/McCain_doesnt_want_to_impeach_Bush_0626.html)) from the original on June 4, 2011. Retrieved May 30, 2011.
69. ["Particle Atlas of World Trade Center Dust"](http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2005/1165/table_1.html) ([http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2005/1165/table\\_1.html](http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2005/1165/table_1.html)). United States Geological Survey. September 23, 2005. Retrieved June 29, 2010.
70. ["WTC Dust Signature Report"](https://web.archive.org/web/20091122142837/http://www.nyenvirolaw.org/WTC/130%20Liberty%20Street/Mike%20Davis%20LMDC%20130%20Liberty%20Documents/Signature%20of%20WTC%20dust/WTC%20Dust%20Signature.Composition%20and%20Morphology.Final.pdf) (<https://web.archive.org/web/20091122142837/http://www.nyenvirolaw.org/WTC/130%20Liberty%20Street/Mike%20Davis%20LMDC%20130%20Liberty%20Documents/Signature%20of%20WTC%20dust/WTC%20Dust%20Signature.Composition%20and%20Morphology.Final.pdf>) (PDF). RJ LeeGroup, Inc. December 2003. Archived from the original (<http://www.nyenvirolaw.org/WTC/130%20Liberty%20Street/Mike%20Davis%20LMDC%20130%20Liberty%20Documents/Signature%20of%20WTC%20dust/WTC%20Dust%20Signature.Composition%20and%20Morphology.Final.pdf>) (PDF) on November 22, 2009. Retrieved June 29, 2010.
71. Phillips, Peter (2007). "Chapter 2: Censored Déjà Vu". *Censored 2008: The Top 25 Censored Stories* (<https://books.google.com/books?id=Hg3DR7blYgAC&lpg=PP1&pg=PA140>). Seven Stories Press. p. 140. ISBN 978-1-58322-772-5. Retrieved August 6, 2010.
72. Harrit, Niels H. ["Active Thermitic Material Discovered in Dust from the 9/11 World Trade Center Catastrophe"](https://web.archive.org/web/20110202230446/http://www.bentham.org/open/tocpj/articles/V002/7TOCPJ.pdf) (<https://web.archive.org/web/20110202230446/http://www.bentham.org/open/tocpj/articles/V002/7TOCPJ.pdf>) (PDF). Archived from the original (<http://www.bentham.org/open/tocpj/articles/V002/7TOCPJ.pdf>) (PDF) on February 2, 2011. Retrieved October 11, 2010.
73. Abel, Jennifer (January 29, 2008). ["Theories of 9/11"](https://web.archive.org/web/20080430203236/http://www.hartfordadvocate.com/article.cfm?aid=5546) (<https://web.archive.org/web/20080430203236/http://www.hartfordadvocate.com/article.cfm?aid=5546>). *Hartford Advocate*. Archived from the original (<http://www.hartfordadvocate.com/article.cfm?aid=5546>) on April 30, 2008. Retrieved August 6, 2010.
74. Thomas, Dave (July–August 2012). ["New Info Challenges 9/11 Thermitic Claims"](http://www.nmsr.org/millette.pdf) (<http://www.nmsr.org/millette.pdf>) (PDF). Retrieved October 9, 2012.
75. ["KPFA 94.1, Guns and Butter"](https://web.archive.org/web/20110614070353/http://www.kpfa.org/archive/id/17162) (<https://web.archive.org/web/20110614070353/http://www.kpfa.org/archive/id/17162>). Kpfa.org. September 28, 2005. Archived from the original (<http://www.kpfa.org/archive/id/17162>) on June 14, 2011. Retrieved May 30, 2011.

76. Walch, Tad (September 8, 2006). "BYU places "9/11 truth" professor on paid leave" (<http://deseretnews.com/dn/view/0,1249,645199800,00.html>). Deseret Morning News.
77. Sullivan, Will (September 11, 2006). "BYU takes on a 9/11 conspiracy professor" (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090430003625/http://www.usnews.com/usnews/news/articles/060911/11conspiracy.htm>). US News & World Report. Archived from the original (<https://www.usnews.com/usnews/news/articles/060911/11conspiracy.htm>) on April 30, 2009.
78. "Request for Correction" ([http://ocio.os.doc.gov/ITPolicyandPrograms/Information\\_Quality/ssLINK/PROD01\\_004108](http://ocio.os.doc.gov/ITPolicyandPrograms/Information_Quality/ssLINK/PROD01_004108)). Google.com. Retrieved May 30, 2011.
79. "Communication re Information Quality Request #07-06" ([http://www.ocio.os.doc.gov/s/groups/public/@doc/@os/@ocio/@oitpp/documents/content/prod01\\_004622.pdf](http://www.ocio.os.doc.gov/s/groups/public/@doc/@os/@ocio/@oitpp/documents/content/prod01_004622.pdf)) (PDF). Retrieved May 30, 2011.
80. "9/11 truthers to distribute propaganda at museum opening" (<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2014/may/20/911-truthers-plan-propaganda-brochures-museum-open/>). Washington Times. Retrieved May 21, 2014.
81. Wagh, Manasee (March 25, 2011). "Group's 9/11 theories draw controversy and indignation" ([https://web.archive.org/web/20110501042246/http://www.phillyburbs.com/news/local/courier\\_times\\_news/group-s-theories-draw-controversy-and-indignation/article\\_01d72cec-5573-59e8-9890-57edac492d37.html](https://web.archive.org/web/20110501042246/http://www.phillyburbs.com/news/local/courier_times_news/group-s-theories-draw-controversy-and-indignation/article_01d72cec-5573-59e8-9890-57edac492d37.html)). phillyBurbs.com. Archived from the original ([http://www.phillyburbs.com/news/local/courier\\_times\\_news/group-s-theories-draw-controversy-and-indignation/article\\_01d72cec-5573-59e8-9890-57edac492d37.html](http://www.phillyburbs.com/news/local/courier_times_news/group-s-theories-draw-controversy-and-indignation/article_01d72cec-5573-59e8-9890-57edac492d37.html)) on May 1, 2011. Retrieved April 15, 2011.
82. Sutcliffe, Thomas (July 7, 2008). "Yet more tall stories with no foundation" (<https://www.independent.co.uk/arts-entertainment/tv/reviews/the-weekends-tv-the-conspiracy-files-911-ndash-the-third-tower-sun-bbc2br-george-gently-sun-bbc2-861141.html>). *Independent Extra*. London, UK: The Independent. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090414093451/http://www.independent.co.uk/arts-entertainment/tv/reviews/the-weekends-tv-the-conspiracy-files-911-ndash-the-third-tower-sun-bbc2br-george-gently-sun-bbc2-861141.html>) from the original on April 14, 2009. Retrieved May 24, 2009.
83. "Un arquitecto estadounidense presenta en Madrid su versión alternativa al 11-S" (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090309183324/http://www.telecinco.es/informativos/internacional/noticia/51928/Un+arquitecto+estadounidense+presenta+su+version+alternativa+al+11S+en+Madrid>). Telecinco. November 8, 2008. Archived from the original (<http://www.telecinco.es/informativos/internacional/noticia/51928/Un+arquitecto+estadounidense+presenta+su+version+alternativa+al+11S+en+Madrid>) on March 9, 2009. Retrieved May 23, 2009.
84. Mounir, Roderic (November 13, 2008). "Les attentats du 11-Septembre: "une démolition contrôlée!" (<https://web.archive.org/web/20110525215901/http://www.lecourrier.ch/index.php?name=NewsPaper&file=article&sid=440823>). Le Courrier. Archived from the original (<http://www.lecourrier.ch/index.php?name=NewsPaper&file=article&sid=440823>) on May 25, 2011. Retrieved May 23, 2009.
85. Moskowitz, Eric (November 29, 2007). "Airing of 9/11 film ignites debate" ([http://www.boston.com/news/local/articles/2007/11/29/airing\\_of\\_911\\_film\\_ignites\\_debate](http://www.boston.com/news/local/articles/2007/11/29/airing_of_911_film_ignites_debate)). *Boston Globe*. Archived ([https://web.archive.org/web/20090604101255/http://www.boston.com/news/local/articles/2007/11/29/airing\\_of\\_911\\_film\\_ignites\\_debate](https://web.archive.org/web/20090604101255/http://www.boston.com/news/local/articles/2007/11/29/airing_of_911_film_ignites_debate)) from the original on June 4, 2009. Retrieved May 23, 2009.
86. Barber, Peter (June 7, 2008). "The truth is out there" (<https://www.ft.com/cms/s/2/8d66e778-3128-11dd-ab22-000077b07658.html>). *Financial Times*. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090603164130/http://www.ft.com/cms/s/2/8d66e778-3128-11dd-ab22-000077b07658.html>) from the original on June 3, 2009. Retrieved May 23, 2009.
87. Glanz, James (March 29, 2002). "Towers Fell as Intense Fire Beat Defenses, Report Says" (<https://www.nytimes.com/2002/03/29/nyregion/nation-challenged-trade-center-towers-fell-intense-fire-beat-defenses-report.html>). *New York Times*. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090604181451/http://www.nytimes.com/2002/03/29/nyregion/nation-challenged-trade-center-towers-fell-intense-fire-beat-defenses-report.html>) from the original on June 4, 2009. Retrieved May 23, 2009.
88. Potocki, P. Joseph (August 27, 2008). "Down the 9-11 Rabbit Hole" (<http://www.bohemian.com/bohemian/08.27.08/cover-911.truth-0835.html>). Bohemian. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090604091229/http://www.bohemian.com/bohemian/08.27.08/cover-911.truth-0835.html>) from the original on June 4, 2009. Retrieved May 25, 2009.
89. Beam, Alex (January 14, 2008). "The truth is out there ... Isn't it?" ([http://www.boston.com/ae/tv/articles/2008/01/14/the\\_truth\\_is\\_out\\_there\\_\\_\\_isnt\\_it](http://www.boston.com/ae/tv/articles/2008/01/14/the_truth_is_out_there___isnt_it)). *The Boston Globe*. Archived ([https://web.archive.org/web/20090603232630/http://www.boston.com/ae/tv/articles/2008/01/14/the\\_truth\\_is\\_out\\_there\\_\\_\\_isnt\\_it](https://web.archive.org/web/20090603232630/http://www.boston.com/ae/tv/articles/2008/01/14/the_truth_is_out_there___isnt_it)) from the original on June 3, 2009. Retrieved May 23, 2009.

90. Beam, Christopher (April 8, 2009). "Heated Controversy" (<http://www.slate.com/id/2215703>). *Slate*. Archived (<http://web.archive.org/web/20090518074848/http://www.slate.com/id/2215703>) from the original on May 18, 2009. Retrieved May 23, 2009.
91. Arkin, William M. (May 26, 2006). "9/11 Truth? I Don't Think So" ([https://web.archive.org/web/20061110135208/http://blog.washingtonpost.com/earlywarning/2006/05/911\\_truth\\_i\\_dont\\_think\\_so.html](https://web.archive.org/web/20061110135208/http://blog.washingtonpost.com/earlywarning/2006/05/911_truth_i_dont_think_so.html)). *Washington Post*. Archived from the original ([http://blog.washingtonpost.com/earlywarning/2006/05/911\\_truth\\_i\\_dont\\_think\\_so.html](http://blog.washingtonpost.com/earlywarning/2006/05/911_truth_i_dont_think_so.html)) on November 10, 2006.
92. Hargrove, Thomas (August 1, 2006). "Third of Americans suspect 9-11 government conspiracy" (<https://web.archive.org/web/20100107092038/http://www.scrippsnews.com/911poll>). Scripps Howard News Service. Archived from the original (<http://www.scrippsnews.com/911poll>) on January 7, 2010. Retrieved December 18, 2009.
93. "Half of New Yorkers Believe US Leaders Had Foreknowledge of Impending 9-11 Attacks and "Consciously Failed" To Act..." (<https://web.archive.org/web/20040831092509/http://www.zogby.com/news/ReadNews.dbm?ID=855>) Zogby International. August 30, 2004. Archived from the original (<http://www.zogby.com/news/ReadNews.dbm?ID=855>) on August 31, 2004. Retrieved May 30, 2011.
94. "Charlie Sheen Questions Official 9/11 Explanations; "Young and Restless" Star Weighs in on Political Topics" (<http://transcripts.cnn.com/TRANSCRIPTS/0603/22/sbt.01.html>). CNN. March 22, 2006.
95. "911truth.org "About Us" " (<http://www.911truth.org/article.php?story=20061014120445472#about>).
96. Pope, Justin (August 6, 2006). "9/11 Conspiracy Theories Persist, Thrive" (<https://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2006/08/06/AR2006080600393.html>). *Washington Post*. Retrieved September 17, 2009. "[...] Barrett will teach a class on Islam at the University of Wisconsin this fall, over the protests of more than 60 state legislators. Jones is a tenured physicist at Brigham Young University [...] co-founder James Fetzer, a retired philosophy professor at the University of Minnesota Duluth [...]"
97. "Scholars for 9/11 Truth and Justice" (<http://stj911.org/index.html>). Scholars for 9/11 Truth and Justice.
98. "Scholars for 9/11 Truth and Justice" (<http://stj911.org/faq.html#quest7>).
99. "Scholars for 9/11 Truth & Justice" (<http://stj911.org/index.html>). Stj911.org. Retrieved May 20, 2014.
100. "STH911 Members" (<http://stj911.org/members/index.html>). Stj911.org. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20110716221732/http://stj911.org/members/index.html>) from the original on July 16, 2011. Retrieved July 30, 2011.
101. Jones, Steven E. (2008). "Fourteen Points of Agreement with Official Government Reports on the World Trade Center Destruction" (<https://web.archive.org/web/20080610080428/http://www.bentham-open.org/pages/content.php?TOCIEJ%2F2008%2F00000002%2F00000001%2F35TOCIEJ.SGM>). Bentham Science Publishers. Archived from the original (<http://www.bentham-open.org/pages/content.php?TOCIEJ/2008/00000002/00000001/35TOCIEJ.SGM>) on June 10, 2008.
102. Jones, Steven E. (2008). "Environmental anomalies at the World Trade Center: evidence for energetic materials" (<http://www.springerlink.com/content/f67q6272583h86n4>). *The Environmentalist*. **29**: 56–63. doi:10.1007/s10669-008-9182-4 (<https://doi.org/10.1007%2Fs10669-008-9182-4>).
103. James R. Gourley (2008). "Discussion of "Mechanics of Progressive Collapse: Learning from World Trade Center and Building Demolitions" by Zdenek P. Bažant and Mathieu Verdure" (<http://ascelibrary.aip.org/dbt/dbt.jsp?KEY=JENMDT&Volume=134&Issue=10#DISCUSSIONS%20AND%20CLOSURES>). ASCE Publications, Reston, VA.
104. "Chefredaktør skrider efter kontroversiel artikel om 9/11" (<http://videnskab.dk/teknologi/chefredaktor-skrider-efter-kontroversiel-artikel-om-911>). *Videnskab.dk*. Retrieved July 23, 2012.
105. Politiken: Konspirationsteorier om 9/11 får nyt liv (<http://politiken.dk/indland/article684567.ece>), Jyllands-Posten: Forskere: Sprængstof i støvet fra WTC (<http://jp.dk/nyviden/article1654301.ece>), Ekstra Bladet: Mystik om WTC: Nano-termit i tårne (<http://ekstrabladet.dk/nationen/article1151442.ece>), Kristeligt Dagblad: Dansker genopliver konspirationsteori om 11. september (<http://www.kristeligt-dagblad.dk/artikel/319661:Danmark--Dansker-genopliver-konspirationsteori-om-11--september>), Videnskab: Dansk forsker: Eksplosivt nanomateriale fundet i støvet fra World Trade Center (<http://videnskab.dk/kultur-samfund/dansk-forsker-eksplosivt-nanomateriale-fundet-i-stovet-fra-world-trade-center>). The journal *Videnskab* is sponsored by the Danish Ministry for Science and Technology.
106. "The Christian Science Monitor – A key force behind the 9/11 commission" (<http://www.csmonitor.com/2004/0325/p03s01-usgn.htm>). Csmonitor.com. March 25, 2004. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20090913044931/http://www.csmonitor.com/2004/0325/p03s01-usgn.htm>) from the original on September 13, 2009. Retrieved May 30, 2011.

107. "About the 9-11 Commission Campaign" (<https://web.archive.org/web/20110716220127/http://9-11cc.org/>). 9-11cc.org. July 3, 2011. Archived from the original (<http://9-11cc.org>) on July 16, 2011. Retrieved July 3, 2011.
108. Senior, Jennifer. "The Memorial Warriors" (<http://nymag.com/news/articles/wtc/1year/families.htm>). *New York Magazine*. Retrieved August 14, 2009.
109. "Chairman Whitehead Announces LMDC Advisory Councils" (<http://www.renewnyc.com/displaynews.aspx?newsid=5a791121-7404-4553-92ec-19c9b64237ad>). Lower Manhattan Development Corporation. January 31, 2002.
110. "Canada National Post: A theory that just won't die" (<https://web.archive.org/web/20120212210153/http://www.canada.com/nationalpost/news/story.html?id=2bcf9f07-6407-4b2c-9f4e-7d4a15afcb98&k=46273>). Canada.com. July 28, 2006. Archived from the original (<http://www.canada.com/nationalpost/news/story.html?id=2bcf9f07-6407-4b2c-9f4e-7d4a15afcb98&k=46273>) on February 12, 2012. Retrieved May 30, 2011.
111. Taibbi, Matt (2006). "The Low Post: I, Left Gatekeeper" ([https://web.archive.org/web/20061017051120/http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/story/11818067/the\\_low\\_post\\_the\\_hopeless\\_stupidity\\_of\\_911\\_conspiracies/1](https://web.archive.org/web/20061017051120/http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/story/11818067/the_low_post_the_hopeless_stupidity_of_911_conspiracies/1)). *Politics*. Rolling Stone. Archived from the original ([https://www.rollingstone.com/politics/story/11818067/the\\_low\\_post\\_the\\_hopeless\\_stupidity\\_of\\_911\\_conspiracies/1](https://www.rollingstone.com/politics/story/11818067/the_low_post_the_hopeless_stupidity_of_911_conspiracies/1)) on October 17, 2006. Retrieved September 29, 2006.
112. Walch, Tad (2006). "Controversy dogs Y.'s Jones" (<http://deseretnews.com/dn/view/0,1249,645200098,00.html>). *Utah news*. Deseret News Publishing Company. Retrieved September 9, 2006.
113. Moyers, Bill. "Is This a Private Fight or Can Anyone Get In It?" (<http://www.commondreams.org/view/2011/02/15-7>). Common Dreams. Archived (<https://web.archive.org/web/20110628201056/http://www.commondreams.org/view/2011/02/15-7>) from the original on June 28, 2011. Retrieved May 30, 2011.
114. Youssef, Maamoun. "Al Qaeda says Iran's 9/11 theory 'ridiculous'" (<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2011/sep/29/al-qaeda-says-irans-911-theory-ridiculous/print/>). Associated Press. Retrieved September 29, 2011.
115. Summers, Anthony; Swan, Robbyn (August 14, 2012). "The Eleventh Day: The Full Story of 9/11" (<https://books.google.com/books?id=i52IBVG5QkoC&printsec=frontcover&dq=THE+ELEVENTH+DAY>). Ballantine Books. Retrieved August 28, 2018 – via Google Books.
116. such as the fall of several buildings besides tower 7, the collapse of tower 7, the elevator shaft fires in the mid floors during the plane crashes, the actually seen free-fall speed, and many other facts in answer to questions. For example, see Myles Power, Debunking 9/11 conspiracy theorists, 7 part series on [YouTube](#).
117. The Thermate/Thermite mixup, the vanishing wing of flight 175 (hidden by a building close by, etc.)
118. Donald Prothero, *Yet Another Crazy Conspiracy Theory*. The Skeptic Magazine, January 2013.
119. Reid, Sue (February 9, 2007). "An explosion of disbelief - fresh doubts over 9/11" (<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-435265/An-explosion-disbelief--fresh-doubts-9-11.html>). *Daily Mail*. London, UK. Archived (<https://archive.today/20091002122051/http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-435265/An-explosion-disbelief--fresh-doubts-9-11.html>) from the original on October 2, 2009. Retrieved September 17, 2009.
120. David Ray Griffin (December 31, 2007). *Debunking 9/11 debunking: an answer* (<https://books.google.com/?id=CMZ12AxBOh8C&dq=Debunking+9/11+Debunking>). Interlink Books. ISBN 978-1566566865. Retrieved May 30, 2011.
121. Griffin, David Ray (2008). *The New Pearl Harbor Revisited: 9/11, the Cover-Up, and the Exposé*. Olive Branch Press. ISBN 978-1-56656-729-9
122. Griffin, David Ray (2009). *The Mysterious Collapse of World Trade Center 7: Why the Final Official Report About 9/11 Is Unscientific and False*. Olive Branch Press. ISBN 978-1-56656-786-2
123. Knight, Peter (2008). "Outrageous Conspiracy Theories: Popular and Official Responses to 9/11 in Germany and the United States" ([http://ngc.dukejournals.org/cgi/reprint/35/1\\_103/165.pdf](http://ngc.dukejournals.org/cgi/reprint/35/1_103/165.pdf)) (PDF). *New German Critique*. 35 (1 103): 165. doi:10.1215/0094033X-2007-024 (<https://doi.org/10.1215%2F0094033X-2007-024>). Retrieved June 9, 2009.
124. "The Great Conspiracy: The 9/11 News Special You Never Saw (2005)" (<https://www.imdb.com/title/tt0462318/reference>). Retrieved August 28, 2018 – via [www.imdb.com](http://www.imdb.com).
125. "Martial Law 9/11: Rise of the Police State (2005)" (<https://www.imdb.com/title/tt0462415/reference>). Retrieved August 28, 2018 – via [www.imdb.com](http://www.imdb.com).
126. "Press for Truth (2006)" (<https://www.imdb.com/title/tt0867134/reference>). Retrieved August 28, 2018 – via [www.imdb.com](http://www.imdb.com).



127. "911 Mysteries Part 1: Demolitions (Video 2006)" (<https://www.imdb.com/title/tt0884319/reference>). Retrieved August 28, 2018 – via [www.imdb.com](http://www.imdb.com).
128. "9/11: Blueprint for Truth - The Architecture of Destruction (2008)" (<https://www.imdb.com/title/tt1791438/reference>). Retrieved August 28, 2018 – via [www.imdb.com](http://www.imdb.com).
129. "The New American Century (Video 2007)" (<https://www.imdb.com/title/tt5329238/reference>). Retrieved August 28, 2018 – via [www.imdb.com](http://www.imdb.com).
130. "9/11: Explosive Evidence - Experts Speak Out (2012)" (<https://www.imdb.com/title/tt2628664/reference>). Retrieved August 28, 2018 – via [www.imdb.com](http://www.imdb.com).
131. "September 11: The New Pearl Harbor (2013)" (<https://www.imdb.com/title/tt3828916/reference>). Retrieved August 28, 2018 – via [www.imdb.com](http://www.imdb.com).
132. "The Anatomy of a Great Deception (2014)" (<https://www.imdb.com/title/tt3842468/reference>). Retrieved August 28, 2018 – via [www.imdb.com](http://www.imdb.com).
133. Ridley, Jim (May 20, 2009). "Doc Hangs with Conspiracy Theorists in New World Order Village Voice May 19, 2009" (<http://www.villagevoice.com/2009-05-20/film/doc-hangs-with-conspiracy-theorists-in-new-world-order>). Villagevoice.com. Retrieved May 30, 2011.
134. "Movie Review New World Order" (<https://movies.nytimes.com/2009/05/22/movies/22orde.html>). *New York Times*. May 26, 2009.

## External links

---

### Media coverage

- Swan, Robynn; Summers, Anthony (July 2006). "The Kingdom and the Towers". *Vanity Fair*.
- Swan, Robynn; Summers, Anthony (August 2011). "The Kingdom and the Towers" (<http://www.vanityfair.com/politics/features/2011/08/9-11-2011-201108>) *Vanity Fair*.
- Senior, Jennifer (September 15, 2002). "The Memorial Warriors" ([https://web.archive.org/web/20041212004428/http://newyorkmetro.com/nymetro/news/sept11/features/n\\_7691/index1.html](https://web.archive.org/web/20041212004428/http://newyorkmetro.com/nymetro/news/sept11/features/n_7691/index1.html)). *New York Magazine*. Archived from the original ([http://newyorkmetro.com/nymetro/news/sept11/features/n\\_7691/index1.html](http://newyorkmetro.com/nymetro/news/sept11/features/n_7691/index1.html)) on December 12, 2004. Retrieved September 19, 2011.
- Sales, Nancy Jo (August 2006). "Click Here for Conspiracy" (<http://www.vanityfair.com/ontheweb/features/2006/08/loosechange200608>). *Vanity Fair*.
- Curiel, Jonathan (September 3, 2006). "The Conspiracy To Rewrite 9/11" (<http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2006/09/03/INGR0KRCBA1.DTL>). *San Francisco Chronicle*.
- Asquith, Christina (September 5, 2006). "Who really blew up the twin towers?" (<http://education.guardian.co.uk/higher/worldwide/story/0,,1864657,00.html>). *The Guardian*. London. Retrieved April 23, 2010.
- Narain, Jaya (September 6, 2006). "Fury as academics claim 9/11 was "inside job"" (<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-403757/Fury-academics-claim-9-11-inside-job.html>)*Daily Mail*. London.
- "The Truth Is Out There - Part I" (<https://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/a3e2879e-342c-11dd-869b-0000779fd2ac.html>) *Financial Times*. June 7, 2008.
- "The Truth Is Out There - Part II" (<https://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/e46f11d8-342c-11dd-869b-0000779fd2ac.html>) *Financial Times*. June 7, 2008.
- "The Truth Is Out There - Part III" (<https://www.ft.com/cms/s/0/8a580372-342b-11dd-869b-0000779fd2ac.html>) *Financial Times*. June 7, 2008.
- "Truth and Conspiracy in the Catskills" (<http://opinionator.blogs.nytimes.com/2010/08/23/truth-and-conspiracy-in-the-catskills/>). *New York Times*. August 23, 2010.

## Book

---

- Summers, Anthony (2011). *The Eleventh Day: The Full Story Of 9/11 And Osama Bin Laden*. Random House. ISBN 978-1-4000-6659-9
- Kay, Jonathan (2011). *Among the Truthers: A Journey Through America's Growing Conspiracist Underground*. Harper. ISBN 978-0-06-200481-9

Retrieved from "[https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=9/11\\_Truth\\_movement&oldid=916285054](https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=9/11_Truth_movement&oldid=916285054)"

---

**This page was last edited on 18 September 2019, at 03:05.**

Text is available under the [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License](#); additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the [Terms of Use](#) and [Privacy Policy](#). Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the [Wikimedia Foundation, Inc.](#), a non-profit organization.