

Subject: Open Letter to AFJ and Affiliates
From: Walt Tuvell <walt.tuvell@gmail.com>
Date: 06/12/17 16:14
To: undisclosed-recipients: ;
BCC: walt.tuvell@gmail.com

Open Letter to Alliance for Justice (<http://www.afj.org>) and partners —

I respectfully write* to ALL of you (AFJ and affiliates, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alliance_for_Justice, <http://www.afj.org/about-afj/member-organizations>) — in a non-partisan manner — imploring you to uphold your own mission statement (<http://www.afj.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/2015-Form-990-AFJPublicCopy.pdf>):

SCHEDULE O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) <small>Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service</small>	Supplemental Information to Form 990 or 990-EZ Complete to provide information for responses to specific questions on Form 990 or 990-EZ or to provide any additional information. ▶ Attach to Form 990 or 990-EZ. <small>▶ Information about Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) and its instructions is at www.irs.gov/form990.</small>	<small>OMB No. 1545-0047</small> 2015 Open to Public Inspection
Name of the organization ALLIANCE FOR JUSTICE	Employer identification number 52-1009973	
FORM 990, PART I, LINE 1, DESCRIPTION OF ORGANIZATION MISSION:		
ALLIANCE FOR JUSTICE IS ORGANIZED TO ENSURE THAT ALL AMERICANS HAVE THE RIGHT TO SECURE JUSTICE IN OUR COURTS AND TO HAVE THEIR VOICES HEARD WHEN GOVERNMENT MAKES DECISIONS THAT AFFECT THEIR LIVES.		

In more detail (<http://www.afj.org/about-afj>):

AFJ works to ensure that the federal judiciary advances core constitutional values, preserves human rights and unfettered access to the courts and adheres to the even-handed administration of justice for all Americans. It is the leading expert on the legal framework for nonprofit advocacy efforts, providing definitive information, resources, and technical assistance that encourages organizations and their funding partners to fully exercise their right to be active participants in the democratic process.

Continuing its work of over 30 years, AFJ will lead the nation on the long road back to respect for the Constitution and protection for everyday people.

Very specifically: I beseech you to simply "investigate" (which merely involves reading/understanding the webpage at <http://JudicialMisconduct.US/CaseStudies/WETvIBM/Story>), and cast "Brandeis Sunshine" (political/judicial publicity/transparency) on that ongoing worst known American example of CRIMINAL/IMPEACHABLE JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT (Falsification of Facts, Obstruction of Justice, persistent COVER-UP), summarized in-a-nutshell by the following Smoking Gun screenshot:

THIS IS PRECISELY WHERE THE JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT ORIGINATED (recurring/covered-up many times, as related *infra*): In arriving at her (false) decision/opinion on the Summary Judgment motion, the District Judge (falsely) refused to "hear" any part of the plaintiff's side of the case at all — by **WHOLLY IGNORING/EXCLUDING** the plaintiff's REQUIRED PSOF (Dkt#83), as marked "*☒" *supra*, and paying attention ONLY to defendant's DSO (Dkt#74) — recalling that the court is **ABSOLUTELY NON-DISCRETIONALLY REQUIRED** to do the exact opposite: consider and credit PSOF (Dkt#83) over DSO (Dkt#74) for the purposes of summary judgment (by rule: the "nonmovant-trumps-movant" tenet of the SJTOR). Indeed, Judge Casper herself explicitly/expressly admitted/vouchsafed such, by authoring/signing the following self-contradictory "SMOKING GUN" passage in her Decision/Opinion ¶2 (annotated here):

the production of evidence that is 'significant[ly] probative.'" *Id.* (quoting *Anderson*, 477 U.S. at 249) (alteration in original). The Court "view[s] the record in the light most favorable to the nonmovant, drawing reasonable inferences in his favor." *Noonan v. Staples, Inc.*, 556 F.3d 20, 25 (1st Cir. 2009).

III. **Factual Background**

The facts are as represented in IBM's statement of material facts, D. 74, and undisputed by Tuvell, D. 82, unless otherwise noted.

Tuvell is a white male, born in 1947, who claims to suffer from post-traumatic stress

This "Factual Background" (at SJ) is a TOTALLY INSANE/ILLEGAL LIE! By SJ RULE/LAW (Rule # 56 + LR # 56.1 + "Standard of Review" just stated), the court 'MUST' CREDIT PSOF (Dkt.# 83), TRUMPING DSO (Dkt.# 74)!

DSOF(Dkt.# 74) & PSOF(Dkt.# 83) are REQUIRED (by LR # 56.1); RespDSOF (Plf.'s Response to DSO, Dkt.# 82) is OPTIONAL. RespDSOF pointed into PSOF 19 times, but the judge DIDN'T FOLLOW those pointers, not even once.

SMOKING GUN BIG LIE Elephant-in-the-Room (from District Court Decision/Opinion ¶2)

This (mis)behavior constitutes/proves obvious/blatant/egregious criminal/impeachable Judicial Misconduct — Falsification of Facts, Obstruction of Justice, Fraud Upon the Court (by a Judge) [and, later, Cover-Up, see *infra*] — pure and simple. QED.

This request — which in any analysis applies to all Americans, having nothing specially to do with my own particular/individual case (except as a convenient/explicit/perfect vehicle/testcase) — fits squarely within your charter/capabilities/resources. Or, alternatively, disprove my claim about "worst known judicial misconduct on record," if you think I'm wrong. (Consider that a challenge.)

If you, the members of AFJ, are incapable/unmotivated/unwilling to apply yourselves to this BASIC ISSUE AFFECTING ALL AMERICANS (not just me!) as a "cause," then pray tell what is your organization worth? What issue can possibly be more pressing to your organization than "judicial notice/hearing' of complaints for ALL Americans"??

— Walter Tuvell (PhD, Math, MIT & U.Chicago — i.e., "not-a-crank")

PS: I refer you to the excellent recent mainstream/popular book, "Unequal," by Thomas and Sperino (<https://www.amazon.com/Unequal-Americas-Undermine-Discrimination-Current/dp/0190278382?encoding=UTF8&psc=1>), and its many, many citations/references, for the importance/depth of the "non-hearing" of Federal judges, at least in the well-known context of employment discrimination/harassment/retaliation.

*PPS: This Open Letter is archived online at <http://JudicialMisconduct.US/drupal/sites/default/files/2017-06/OpenLetterToAFJ.pdf>. AFJ member affiliates who don't publicize their direct email addresses have been contacted indirectly via their webforms (where available), and referred to the archive copy.