

Subject: Report/complaint of corruption in the USA
From: Walt Tuvell <Walt.Tuvell@gmail.com>
Date: 02/14/2018 09:41 AM
To: ti@transparency.org
CC: press@transparency.org

Hello, Transparency International ---

I am writing to you (at this address), because according to your website (<https://www.transparency.org/reportcorruption>):

THERE ARE CURRENTLY NO ALACS OR TI CONTACTS OPERATING IN UNITED STATES. PLEASE CONTACT THE INTERNATIONAL SECRETARIAT FOR FURTHER ASSISTANCE.

I am currently (so your immediate attention is essential) the victim of serious Judicial Misconduct/Corruption in the USA. Specifically, the Federal Courts (First Circuit) are DENYING MY RIGHT TO BE HEARD (a basic human right).

You probably get "crazy" reports like this frequently. But I'm not a "crazy" (few PhD's are). Importantly, I can PROVE everything I claim (at the highest levels of mathematical/legalistic standards). Namely: First, see the screenshot just below. Second, read my Petition to the Judicial Conference attached hereto. Third: Visit my website (see signature line at bottom), as guided by the Petition.

THIS IS PRECISELY WHERE THE JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT ORIGINATED (recurring/covered-up many times, as related *infra*): In arriving at her (false) decision/opinion on the Summary Judgment motion, the District Judge (falsely) refused to "hear" any part of plaintiff's side of the case at all — by **WHOLLY IGNORING/EXCLUDING** plaintiff's **REQUIRED PSOF (Dkt#83)**, as marked "★" *supra*, and paying attention **ONLY** to defendant's **DSOF (Dkt#74)** — recalling that the court is **ABSOLUTELY NON-DISCRETIONALLY REQUIRED** to do the **exact opposite: consider and credit PSOF (Dkt#83) over DSOF (Dkt#74) for the purposes of summary judgment** (by rule: the "**nonmovant-trumps-movant**" tenet of the **SJTOR**). Indeed, Judge Casper herself explicitly/expressly admitted/vouchsafed such, by authoring/signing the following **self-contradictory "SMOKING GUN" passage** (i.e., **single/discrete/standalone piece of irrefutable evidence sufficient to support charges of wrongdoing**) in her Decision/Opinion p2 (annotated here):

the production of evidence that is 'significant[ly] probative.'" Id. (quoting Anderson, 477 U.S. at 249) (alteration in original). **The Court "view[s] the record in the light most favorable to the nonmovant, drawing reasonable inferences in his favor."** Noonan v. Staples, Inc., 556 F.3d 20, 25 (1st Cir. 2009).

III. Factual Background

This "Factual Background" (at SJ) is a TOTALLY INSANE/ILLEGAL LIE! By SJ RULE LAW (Rule # 56 + LR # 56.1 + "Standard of Review" just stated), the court "MUST" CREDIT PSOF (Dkt # 83), TRUMPING DSOF (Dkt.# 74)!

The facts are as represented in IBM's statement of material facts, D. 74, and undisputed by Tuvell, D. 82, unless otherwise noted.

DSOF(Dkt.#74) & PSOF(Dkt.#83) are REQUIRED (by LR #56.1); RespDSOF (Plf.'s Response to DSOF, Dkt.# 82) is OPTIONAL. RespDSOF pointed into PSOF 19 times, but the judge DIDN'T FOLLOW those pointers, not even once.

Tuvell is a white male, born in 1947, who claims to suffer from post-traumatic stress

SMOKING GUN BIG LIE Elephant-in-the-Room (from District Court Decision/Opinion p2)

This (mis)behavior (which may be languaged as "**treating the Plaintiff/Nonmovant as INVISIBLE**") constitutes/proves obvious/blatant/egregious/outrageous criminal/impeachable Judicial Misconduct — Falsification of Facts, Obstruction of Justice, Fraud Upon the Court (by a Judge) [and, later, Cover-Up by this and other judges, see *infra*] — pure and simple. **QED.**

Obviously (as you will see when you study my case a little, even superficially), this problem has nothing to do

with "me," it has to do with "all Americans (and citizens of other countries worldwide, in all judicial jurisdictions)". Furthermore, I do NOT ask for any kind of extraordinary help, such as legal or financial support. I ask merely for "Brandeis sunlight," that is, publicity. That's something that's cheap/easy/trivial for TI to provide, and fits perfectly within your charter (https://www.transparency.org/whoweare/organisation/mission_vision_and_values).

If not you, who? If not now, when?

Please, I hope to hear from you very soon (my case is ACTIVE RIGHT NOW).

--- Walter Tuvell (PhD, MIT & U.Chicago, Math & CompSci -- hence, "not-a-crank")

--- <http://JudicialMisconduct.US> (esp., .../CaseStudies/WETvIBM#smokinggun and environs)

*** Contact me, publicly or privately (walt.tuvell@gmail.com). "Ask Me Anything." ***

—Attachments:—

JConfPetition.pdf

570 KB