

Top 5 Defamations: (out of ~33[?])

1. Theft (^{attempted} accused) of professional services; secret personal agenda; dishonest. (91140)
2. Choosing the precise divisive issue/subthread of Left vs. Right; bitching comments. (9110-13, 14E)
3. Calling my website single-issue (Tuell v. IBM), lousy case, teetering on edge of madness. (9114H-I)
4. Sandbagging. (9114C-F)
5. Banning, first time anyone used Ethics Alerts for personal agenda. (91A-C)

this impugns my integrity as LPPF (limited-purpose public figure), in respect of my "vocation"

CTXDEFIMPL =

Contextual Defamatory Implications

DGIMF =

Disputed Genuine Issues of Material Fact

[Thu, Jun 7, 2018]

We are here for Rule 12,
Motion to Dismiss. (Failure to
State a Claim
Upon which Relief
may be Granted)
~~NOT~~ Rule 56,
Summary Judgment.

So the question is:

Have I alleged facts for
which there may reasonably
exist evidence that a
reasonable jury could convict on?

don't have to
produce such
evidence at
this stage

~~Internet Linking~~
~~the internet page for~~

not "gray
area"

#attired

- ^{Consumer Protection Law} Chap 93A Demand Letter
- "Registered Mail"
- "Mitigation of Damages"
- "judge decided the case was long"
- judicial ethics ≠ judicial misconduct
- "assaults" - I don't claim defamation here

- "opinion" vs. "fact"

- size of forum
(9 million)

Internet Linking is
"defamation-free"?!

judicial misconduct ≠ judicial
ethics