**Subject:** Re: Please acknowledge receipt **From:** Walt Tuvell < Walt.Tuvell@gmail.com >

Date: 07/10/2018 05:04 PM

To: AOdb Internal Control and Audit@ao.uscourts.gov, Judicial Conference

<JCD PetitionforReview@ao.uscourts.gov>

Please: Send me an EMAIL (or, U.S. Mail) acknowledgment of receipt of Petition (see below for details).

Reason: I don't trust the Federal Judiciary (for the reasons PROVED in the very Petition mentioned under discussion). And hence, I don't trust you.

Copy of above-mentioned Petition (which was sent to you via U.S. Mail plus 2 other mechanisms) is available online at: <a href="http://judicialmisconduct.us/sites/default/files/2018-05">http://judicialmisconduct.us/sites/default/files/2018-05</a> <a href="mailto://jconfPetition.pdf">[ConfPetition.pdf</a>

Here's a screenshot of the just-mentioned online copy of Petition (<a href="http://judicialmisconduct.us/CaseStudies/RYANvUS(ALSCHULERvEASTERBROOK)">http://judicialmisconduct.us/CaseStudies/RYANvUS(ALSCHULERvEASTERBROOK)</a> — just click on the highlighted link):

## **Judicial Conference Proceedings**

On May 28 2018, Petition for Review by the Judicial Conference (of Easterbrook Complaint) was filed \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau.

#TBD#

A copy of that Petition is also ATTACHED HERETO.

Why is this so hard for you — given that it's REQUIRED BY LAW for you to acknowledge receipt (as cited below)???

- --- Walter Tuvell (PhD, MIT & U.Chicago, Math & CompSci -- hence, "not-a-crank")
- --- <a href="http://JudicialMisconduct.US">http://JudicialMisconduct.US</a> (esp., .../CaseStudies/WETvIBM#smokinggun and environs)
- \*\*\* Contact me, publicly or privately (walt.tuvell@gmail.com). "Ask Me Anything." \*\*\*

On 07/02/2018 03:09 PM, Walt Tuvell wrote:

Hello again. This is a follow-up to my note set to you last week (included below). I REPEAT again my request that you acknowledge receipt of my Petition for Review. As authority for my request, I draw your attention to rule JCDR 22(d) (<a href="http://judicialmisconduct.us/sites/default/files/2018-04">http://judicialmisconduct.us/sites/default/files/2018-04</a> //JudicialConductAndDisabilityRules%2C2016.pdf):

Action on Receipt of Petition. When a petition for review of a judicial-council decision on a reviewable matter, as defined in Rule 21(b)(1), is submitted in accordance with this Rule, the Administrative Office shall acknowledge its receipt, notify the chair of the Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability, and distribute the petition to the members of the Committee for their deliberation.

Why is it so hard to get the Federal Court System to do its job??

- --- Walter Tuvell (PhD, MIT & U.Chicago, Math & CompSci -- hence, "not-a-crank")
- --- <a href="http://JudicialMisconduct.US">http://JudicialMisconduct.US</a> (esp., .../CaseStudies/WETvIBM#smokinggun and environs)
- \*\*\* Contact me, publicly or privately (walt.tuvell@gmail.com). "Ask Me Anything." \*\*\*

On 06/28/2018 03:22 PM, Walt Tuvell wrote:

Hello —

I sent you a Petition for Review, on May 28 (by several transport mechanisms, including Certified U.S. Mail). However, I have not yet received an acknowledgment of receipt directly from you.

As we know (and is PROVEN in my very Petition to you), the Federal Judiciary is known for "failing (falsely/illegally) to receive/acknowledge receipt of communications." Therefore, I am now fearful that you are now doing exactly that.

Therefore: Please do now acknowledge receipt of my Petition. ASAP.

- --- Walter Tuvell (PhD, MIT & U.Chicago, Math & CompSci -- hence, "not-a-crank") --- <a href="http://JudicialMisconduct.US">http://JudicialMisconduct.US</a> (esp., .../CaseStudies/WETvIBM#smokinggun and environs)
- \*\*\* Contact me, publicly or privately (<u>walt.tuvell@gmail.com</u>). "Ask Me Anything." \*\*\*

-Attachments:

JConfPetition.pdf 533 KB