

RE: Another additional complaint

Subject: RE: Another additional complaint
From: Integrity-Complaint <Integrity-Complaint@cigie.gov>
Date: 10/1/19, 12:34 PM
To: Walt Tuvell <walt.tuvell@gmail.com>

Mr. Tuvell,

We received your communications dated September 28, 2019 and September 30, 2019. Thank you for providing this information for Integrity Committee review.

Sincerely,
Integrity Committee Working Group

From: Walt Tuvell <walt.tuvell@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 30, 2019 4:44 PM
To: Integrity-Complaint <Integrity-Complaint@cigie.gov>
Subject: Another additional complaint

/* PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF THIS EMAIL, AND THE ONE I SENT YOU TWO DAYS AGO (AND ALL OTHER EMAILS I SEND YOU IN FUTURE). */

TO CIGIE-IC WG —

Similarly to the "additional complaint" I sent to you previously on Sep 16 (https://judicialmisconduct.us/sites/default/files/2019-09/Additional_complaint.pdf), this letter now adds another complaint.

Namely, I have learned that the IG Act §8E(b)(3) requires ("shall") the DOJ IG (Michael Horowitz) to do the following:

(3) shall refer to the Counsel, Office of Professional Responsibility of the Department of Justice, allegations of misconduct involving Department attorneys, investigators, or law enforcement personnel, where the allegations relate to the exercise of the authority of an attorney to investigate, litigate, or provide legal advice, except that no such referral shall be made if the attorney is employed in the Office of Professional Responsibility;

As you know (cf. the copious documentation at <https://judicialmisconduct.us/CaseStudies/WETvIBM>), I made complaints/allegations to Horowitz (and/or agents/representatives of his) about "misconduct involving Department attorneys, investigators, law enforcement personnel [of the type contemplated by §8E(b)(3)]," namely Andrew Lelling, FBI, PIN, EOUSA, OPR. Therefore, by §8E(b)(3), **Horowitz was required** to refer my allegations to the OPR Counsel (even the one involving OPR, despite the exemption mentioned in §8E(b)(3), because I didn't complain about "an attorney employed in OPR").

But Horowitz did not do so, to the best of my information/belief/knowledge. Instead, what he did was to refer my allegation involving Lelling (only, not the others) to EOUSA (instead of to the OPR Counsel). This means Horowitz is responsible/liable/culpable for violating §8E(b)(3). This, then, constitutes the new allegation I am hereby submitting to you (under pain/penalty of perjury, as always) with this letter.

NOTE: I have already previously made essentially all of the substance of this complaint about Horowitz (and/or other "covered persons") known to you. Essentially the only additional piece of information being added in this letter is that my complaint actually involves an **explicit provision of the IG Act, §8E(b)(3)**.

RE: Another additional complaint

Thank you.

— Walter Tuvell