

From:

Oct 12 2019

Walter Tuvell, PhD
836 Main St.
Reading, MA 01867
781-475-7254 (c)
walt.tuvell@gmail.com
<http://JudicialMisconduct.US>

To:

CIGIE; Michael Horowitz; CIGIE-IC; Scott Dahl

Subject:

Response to CIGIE Dismissal Letter of Oct 9 2019

RESPONSE TO CIGIE DISMISSAL LETTER OF OCT 9

This letter responds to your letter to me dated Oct 9 2019.¹ Your letter invokes **CIGIE Policies and Procedures (CPP)**² ¶7.C.i, to “take no further action on this matter at this time,” because my Complaints/Allegations “do not meet the threshold standard” of CPP ¶7.A:

A. Threshold standard. The IC takes action on allegations of wrongdoing against a Covered Person that involve abuse of authority in the exercise of official duties or while acting under color of office, substantial misconduct, such as gross mismanagement, gross waste of funds, or a substantial violation of law, rule, or regulation, or conduct that undermines the independence or integrity reasonably expected of a Covered Person.

C. IC Actions. Within 30 days of a referral to the IC from the Allegation Review Group, a referral back to the IC from PIN or OSC, or a matter having otherwise been reopened, subject to extension as specified in paragraph (D) of this section, the IC will take one of the following actions:

- i. Determine threshold standards not met. Determine that the allegations do not meet the threshold standard, and close the matter;

1 • Available at https://JudicialMisconduct.US/sites/default/files/2019-10/CIGIE_DismissalLetter.pdf. As always, **all relevant documentation is available on my website, at webpage <https://JudicialMisconduct.US/CaseStudies/WETvIBM>, and you are expected to be familiar with it.**

2 • Available at https://www.ignet.gov/sites/default/files/files/Integrity_Committee_Policies_and_Procedures_Revised_Jan-2018_Final.pdf.

WHAT THIS MEANS

You're lying/stonewalling/gaslighting. Criminally.

The most blatantly shocking/disgusting aspect of your Oct 9 letter is its abjectly/falsely/insanely “**conclusory**” nature: **you refuse to supply any reasons whatsoever, valid or invalid, for your dismissal.** That is abusive in the extreme.³ For, it implies that **you implicitly agree** with *all* of the following **true** itemized points⁴ — otherwise, you'd obviously have supplied sensible/rational reasons for your disagreement (or at least tried/pretended to lie your way through it, with varying degrees of bureaucratic gobbledygook/gaslight):⁵

I I have properly complained about “**Covered Persons**” (in the sense of CPP ¶2,7.A). You have admitted this, because you name Michael Horowitz in your Oct 9 letter (though you refuse to acknowledge the other persons that I have complained about, “covered” or not, who have acted as Horowitz’s agents/representatives/henchmen).

II I have properly complained about “**wrongdoing**” (in the sense of CPP ¶7.A). *Viz.*, multiple instances of the following:⁶ (i) abuse of authority⁷ in the (i’) exercise of official duties or (i”) while acting under color of office; (ii) substantial misconduct, such as (ii’) gross misman-

3 • It avoids, in bad faith, all the serious investigative, recording and reporting duties of the CPP.

4 • I could easily provide explicit references/proofs for all the following items, but that’s needless, given that it’s already well-available on my website/webpage (in the correspondence I’ve conducted with CIGIE, and elsewhere; see ¶1f1 *supra*).

5 • Indeed, you already stupidly tried doing so previously, with your crazy/nonsense letter of Aug 23 concerning “DOJ OIG as-a-whole, as opposed to ‘Covered Persons’.”

6 • Tags added for clarity/disambiguation.

7 • Defined in CPP Appendix A.

agement,⁸ (ii") gross waste of funds, or (ii") a substantial violation of (ii"α) law, (ii"β) rule, or (ii"γ) regulation; or (iii) conduct that undermines the (iii') independence or (iii") integrity reasonably expected of a Covered Person. **This is reviewed/proven in the following items.**

III The **federal judges** involved, at all levels,⁹ have admitted/promised that they were **absolutely required** (non-discretionally), by the rules/laws of court procedure (**SJTOR, Summary Judgment Tenets of Review**) and binding precedent/*stare decisis* (e.g. *Tolan v. Cotton*),¹⁰ to credit plaintiff's/nonmovant's (my) story; **but** then they actually did the 100%/180° **opposite**, crediting defendant's/movant's (IBM's) story, leading to false dismissal of *Tuvell v. IBM*. Thereby they have committed **Criminal Obstruction of Justice via Falsification of Facts and Cover-Up, with Conspiracy (18 USC §1503/1519, 1001,371)**. There can be no good-faith refutation to this assertion.¹¹

IV I have properly reported the criminal behavior of ¶III to multiple relevant authorities/entities in the DOJ: **U.S. Atty. (Andrew Lelling), FBI, PIN, OPR, EOUSA** — all of them responsible, in varying degrees, for "**programs, operations and activities**"¹² within the DOJ. But rather than properly performing their "program/operation/activ-

8 • Defined in CPP Appendix A.

9 • District Judge; Circuit Appellate Judges; Supreme Court; Judicial Council; Judicial Conference. The identities of all these judges (not to mention the various law clerks and/or other staff knowledgeable of the proceedings a true investigation would have discovered) are named or otherwise identifiable by my webpage.

10 • Supreme Court №13-551, 572 U.S. —, 134 S.Ct. 1861, 2014. See <https://JudicialMisconduct.US/CaseStudies/TOLANvCOTTON>.

11 • Not a single knowledgeable lawyer/judge/official (including, now, you) has even deigned to *attempt* a refutation. Instead, they all simply *ignore* the issue, and refuse to even recognize it, which amounts to lying/stonewalling/gaslighting, criminally.

12 • CPP ¶1, "Statement of Purpose." IG Act §2(1-2), "Purpose of OIGs."

ity” sworn duty to follow-up (i.e., investigate/correct/prosecute) the reported criminal behavior, they all **lied/stonewalled/gaslighted (by either action or inaction)**. Thereby they themselves have committed criminal Conspiracy,¹³ **joining** the federal judges in the crimes listed in ¶III. There can be no good-faith refutation to this assertion.

V I have properly reported/complained/alleged the criminal behavior of ¶IV to the **DOJ OIG** (that is, to IG **Michael Horowitz** and/or his agents/representatives/henchmen, including “Covered Persons”), which is responsible for the “integrity” of the “programmatic/operational/activity” wrongdoing of DOJ employees listed in ¶IV. Yet, those “luminaries” grossly shirked their sworn duties, **doing nothing proper**. Thereby they themselves, too, have committed criminal Conspiracy, **joining** the federal judges and DOJ employees of ¶III-IV. There can be no good-faith refutation to this assertion.

VI I have properly reported/complained/alleged the criminal behavior of ¶V to **CIGIE/CIGIE-IC**. Now, with your letter of Oct 9, you have **improperly done nothing**, though action is precisely within your required scope of authority/duty, thereby **joining** the criminal Conspiracy of ¶III-V. There can be no good-faith refutation to this assertion.

IN CONCLUSION

Thus, you (the addressees of this letter) — by your documented/demonstrated/proven pattern of **lying/stonewalling/gaslighting** — have willingly/eagerly joined the **Criminal regime** in this matter. You are thus all guilty of **Obstruction of Justice via Falsification of Facts and Cover-Up, with Conspiracy. AND YOU KNOW IT.**

13 • Discussed in detail (as with everything else) on my website/webpage.

This extends the **statute of limitations** of these crimes to — not only at least **five years** from the date of your letter (Oct 9 2019) — but **indefinitely**, because your **continued inaction** despite your continuing **sworn duty/obligation/compulsion** to affirmatively act/perform responsibly, implicates the **continuing violation doctrine**.

And, oh yes, now you can/should/must rescind CIGIE's cynical sanctimonious bullshit hypocrisy about "*transcendent integrity*."¹⁴ Far from being transcendently integral, you (CIGIE/Horowitz/Dahl in particular, but also the DO"J" and even the U.S. Government as a whole) are nothing but a crooked disgraceful confederacy of fucking criminals. **AND YOU KNOW IT.**

"Profiles in Courage" you are not. "Profiles in Chickenshit Criminality" is what you are. **AND YOU KNOW IT.**¹⁵

REASSERTION/RENEWAL; VERIFICATION; SIGNATURE

I hereby **reassert** all my claims/complaints/allegations in this matter, and **renew** my calls for **true justice.**¹⁶ ("**Justice is the End [Goal] of Government**" — **Hamilton, Federalist №51.**) Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury:



Walter Tuvell

14 • IG Act §11(a)(2)(A); your website (<https://ignet.gov>); etc.

15 • Alternatively, what we really need in CIGIE is a **whistleblower**: some legitimately heroic/patriotic non-sycophant IG who will go directly to legislative leaders and report the rampant judicial- and executive-branch corruption exhibited in this matter. Michael Atkinson (together with his whistleblowing informant) did this with regard to the Trump/Ukraine matter, but is he really the *only* honest/integral IG?

16 • Even though I know this plea will fall on deaf ears, now that your incontrovertible criminal corruption is irrefutably proven. **AND YOU KNOW IT.**