## From:

Walter Tuvell 836 Main St. Reading MA, 01867 (781)944-3617 (h); (781)475-7254 (c) walt.tuvell@gmail.com Judicial Misconduct Complaints №01-16-90036-01-16-90041

## **To:**<sup>1</sup>

Florence Pagano
Asst. Cir. Exec. for Legal Affairs
Circuit Executive Office
Moakley Court House, Suite 3700
1 Courthouse Way
Boston, MA 02110
(617)748-9376
Florence Pagano@ca1.uscourts.gov

#### Cc:

Judicial Conference Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability Attn: Office of the General Counsel Administrative Office of the United States Courts One Columbus Circle, NE Washington, D.C. 20544
JCD PetitionforReview@ao.uscourts.gov

March 6, 2017

# EMERGENCY OBJECTION AND RELATED MOTION (WITH PROPOSAL)

Dear Ms. Pagano:

# **OBJECTION**

I hereby **OBJECT**, in the strongest possible terms, to *any* further participation, at *any* level, by (*any* member of) the First Circuit Judicial Council — or, indeed, by *any* judge of the First Circuit<sup>2</sup> — in reviewing: (i) my Complaints of Judicial Misconduct (two "old" ones filed on Sep. 12, 2016, and one *new* one, filed today); (ii) my Petition for Review (filed today). As **REA**-

- 1 Delivered by both email and U.S. mail.
- $2 \cdot All$  six Appellate judges have now been directly accused of judicial misconduct by me; and, all remaining judges (District, Magistrate, senior status) are thereby suspect as well (by reason of their relationships, dependencies and duties, both real and imagined ("presumption/appearance/suspicion" of misconduct), both by personal ties and by professional obeisance, to the accused Appellate judges, and to one another) in toto, amounting to disqualifying suspicion and/or reality of conspiracy.

**SON,** I state that there exists now (since the issuance of the Judicial Council's Opinion(/Order) on Jan. 27, 2017) a state of **complete lack of confidence** (both subjectively by me, and objectively by any rational third-party observer) that any justice will be afforded me within the purview of the First Circuit on these matters.

## **MOTION (WITH PROPOSAL)**

As an emergency measure, and pursuant to the Objection, *supra*, I hereby **MOVE** that any further review of my Complaints and Petition be **removed** *entirely* from the purview or influence of the First Circuit; and, I **propose** it be *reassigned directly*<sup>3</sup> to the Committee on Judicial Conduct and Disability (Cc'd hereto) (with *absolutely no participation* of the First Circuit therein, in any way/shape/form). As **REASON**, I refer to the Objection, *supra*, esp. its footnote f2. Under the prevailing circumstances, continued review of my Complaints/Petition with *any involvement* of First Circuit judges would be non-viable (irretrievably tainted by the appearance/suspicion/reality of improper bias/animus/retaliatory motives). Proceeding in denial of this reality would be irrevocably deleterious to the reputation of the First Circuit, and would indeed tend to destroy public faith/trust in the Federal Judiciary as an American institution — constituting a clear and present danger to the efficacy of governance in the United States of America as a whole.

In further support of the instant motion/proposal, we note that the current posture of our Judicial Misconduct Complaints is contemplated by the JCDR<sup>4</sup> 25(a-c,f).

Too, **ethical considerations** require self-disqualification (applied to each and every individual judge involved in this Judicial Misconduct proceeding). Code of Conduct for United States (Federal) Judges, Canon 3(C) (1), emphasis added: "A judge **shall** disqualify himself or herself in a proceeding in which the judge's impartiality might reasonably be questioned ..."

Finally, at the highest level, **large-scale** (Federal government) policy considerations require the Federal Judiciary to come up with an effective, innovative solution to this unique situation (widespread judicial corruption).

<sup>3 •</sup> Our proposal, while non-standard, is uniquely: (i) in conformity to the **emergency** nature of this motion; (ii) consistent with the intent of all rules/laws/codes of judicial conduct and ethics; (iii) practical; (iv) (potentially) effective; (v) the only choice (no viable alternative).

<sup>4 •</sup> Judicial Conduct & Disability Rules (including 1st Cir. Local Rules).

Sincerely yours,

WETWOLL

Walter E. Tuvell