PSOF-Exclusion Table (Abridged):

Issues/Facts	Lower Courts' Faux "Findings"
(A) Knabe Excel graphics episode	Op $\wp 3$ = ReqApx $\wp 6$ –8. Discredit PSOF $\wp 1$ –2 = ReqApx $\wp 48$ – 50 , $\P 1$ –4. Credit DSOF $\wp 2 \P 7$.
® Feldman refuse three-way meeting	Op ω3 = ReqApx ω6–8 (silent). Discredit PSOF ω2,5,18 = ReqApx ω49–50,53–54,70–71, ¶5–6,17,59. Credit DSOF ω2 (silent).
© Knabe yelling incident	Op $\wp 3$ = ReqApx $\wp 6-8$. Discredit PSOF $\wp 2-3,5,15-16$ = ReqApx $\wp 49-50,53-54,66-69$, $\P 7,17,50$. Credit DSOF $\wp 2-3\P 8$.
© Feldman demotion	$Op $ $\wp 3 = ReqApx $ $\wp 6-8$. $Discredit $ $PSOF $ $\wp 3-5,18 = ReqApx $ $\wp 50-54,71-71$, $\P8,11-16,58-59$. $Credit $ $DSOF $ $\wp 3-4\P9-13$.

{ Went to HR — here's where things <u>really</u> "went south."}

 $\underline{\textit{Twenty}} \ additional \ issues / facts:$

See <u>Unabridged version</u> of this Table, ReqApx \wp 86–90.

NOTE: The tags —® provide cross-correlation amongst (i) the Unabridged version of this Table, (ii) Op (ReqApx &4-38), and (iii) the PSOF itself (ReqApx &48-84); and also with (iv) DSOF (not included in ReqApx).