

January 3 2018

From:

Walter Tuvell
836 Main St.
Reading MA 01867
781-944-3617(h); 781-475-7254(c)
walt.tuvell@gmail.com
<http://JudicialMisconduct.US>

To:

President Donald Trump
Vice President Mike Pence
Attorney General Jeff Sessions
John Dowd; Jay Sekulow; Ty Cobb
Donald Trump, Jr.; Ivanka Trump; Jared Kushner
Mitch McConnell; Paul Ryan; Devin Nunes
Steve Bannon; Sean Hannity
Whom It May Concern

Constitutional Crisis via Judicial Misconduct

I write to outline a project that is **guaranteed** to **immediately** create the most massive Constitutional Crisis in American history (seriously), by exposing widespread **Criminal Judicial Misconduct** (Falsification of Facts, Obstruction of Justice, Cover-Up, etc., by **falsely ignoring the sacred/precedential Procedural Rules of Court**) in the Federal Judiciary. Implementing/prosecuting this project will immediately achieve the following results:

- Divert national/press attention away from current/ongoing investigations by Special Counsel Robert Mueller and Congress.
- Re-focus the national conversation on a new, **much more important/major scandal**, namely widespread criminal **corruption** in the Federal Judiciary.
- Implicate wrong-doing by many high-profile individuals, both inside and outside of government (I've contacted them directly, but they've refused to carry out their duties). *Examples:* 20 named Federal Judges, ultimately leading to their **impeachment** (12 in the First Circuit; 8 Supreme Court Justices [not Neil Gorsuch, who wasn't seated yet]); Barack Obama; James Comey; Hillary Clinton; Elizabeth Warren; Ed Markey; Seth Moulton; Lawrence Tribe; Lawrence Lessig; Alan Dershowitz; New York Times; Washington Post; etc.

The goal of this project is *only justice* (in the true sense of Constitutional Rule of Law); nothing more, and nothing less. In particular, the items listed above are merely indirect side-effects (not direct goals). Furthermore, this project has *nothing whatsoever* to do with politics, or partisanship, or racism, etc.

As mentioned above, these effects listed above would be **immediate**. The

reason is that the steps given below are all immediately/already **proven** (obvious on their face, i.e., no deep investigation is required). Of course, A.G. Sessions would need to appoint the DOJ PIN (Public Integrity Section)[†] to prosecute the case — *bypassing the FBI altogether* — but the actual investigation required is *de minimus* (because, everything is all already **exhaustively documented**, see below).

Explicitly, the steps to be taken to implement this project are the following:

- 1 Enlisting as many (good, competent) lawyers as you can trust, *study very closely* the Smoking Gun at <http://JudicialMisconduct.US/CaseStudies/WETvIBM#smokinggun> (also copied on the final page of this letter). That'll take you only a few minutes. What you will see is that **the District Judge provably lied**: she wrote (correctly) that, (i) at Summary Judgment, the court must credit the nonmovant's story; but then she (falsely) (ii) totally ignored nonmovant's story! That is Judicial Misconduct of the highest degree!!
- 2 Once you've become convinced of the Smoking Gun, next study the webpage <http://JudicialMisconduct.US/CaseStudies/WETvIBM>. As stated at the top of that page, you can/should skip the Underlying Case-In-Chief upon a first reading, and start directly with the District Court Proceedings (because, the Judicial Misconduct aspects of this case are procedural only, i.e., they have nothing whatsoever to do with the substantive merits of the underlying lawsuit — making this is the perfect "test case"). You will discover that the **Appellate Judges** provably committed the **same lies** as the District Judge.
- 3 Keep reading there. You'll then discover that the **Supreme Court** itself committed the **same lies**.
- 4 And then you'll discover that, upon the follow-up official/formal Judicial Misconduct proceedings, **more First Circuit Judges** committed the **same lies**. This means: the whole Federal Judiciary in this case has committed **crimes** (Falsification of Facts, Obstruction of Justice, Cover-Up, etc.).
- 5 For more details on the actual/explicit **criminal nature** of what these judges have done, see the essay at http://JudicialMisconduct.US/sites/default/files/2017-04/08_JudicialTwilightZone_0.pdf.
- 6 Do any further research/investigation you wish. Be thorough.
- 7 Contact me. The sooner the better. **Ask me anything**.
- 8 Prosecute. Start tweeting (@realDonaldTrump; #JudicialMisconduct). Etc.

Thank you.



— Walter Tuvell (PhD, Math, MIT & U.Chicago)
— <http://JudicialMisconduct.US>

[†] According to <https://www.justice.gov/criminal/pin>: "The Section [PIN] has exclusive jurisdiction over allegations of criminal misconduct on the part of federal judges."

Smoking Gun

(<http://JudicialMisconduct.US/CaseStudies/WETvIBM#smokinggun>)

THIS IS PRECISELY WHERE THE JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT ORIGINATED (recurring/covered-up many times, as related *infra*): In arriving at her (false) decision/opinion on the Summary Judgment motion, the District Judge (falsely) refused to “hear” any part of plaintiff’s side of the case at all — by **WHOLLY IGNORING/EXCLUDING** plaintiff’s **REQUIRED PSOF (Dkt#83)**, as marked “*✖” *supra*, and paying attention **ONLY** to defendant’s **DSOF (Dkt#74)** — recalling that the court is **ABSOLUTELY NON-DISCRETIONALLY REQUIRED** to do the **exact opposite: consider and credit PSOF (Dkt#83) over DSOF (Dkt#74)** for the purposes of summary judgment (by rule: the “**nonmovant-trumps-movant**” tenet of the **SJTOR**). Indeed, Judge Casper herself **explicitly/expressly admitted/vouchsafed** such, by authoring/signing the following **self-contradictory “SMOKING GUN” passage** (i.e., **single/discrete/standalone piece of irrefutable evidence sufficient to support charges of wrongdoing**) in her Decision/Opinion ¶2 (annotated here):

the production of evidence that is ‘significant[ly] probative.’” Id. (quoting Anderson, 477 U.S. at 249) (alteration in original). **The Court “view[s] the record in the light most favorable to the nonmovant, drawing reasonable inferences in his favor.” Noonan v. Staples, Inc., 556 F.3d 20, 25 (1st Cir. 2009).**

III. Factual Background

This “Factual Background” (at SJ) is a TOTALLY INSANE/ILLEGAL LIE! By SJ RULE/ LAW (Rule # 56 + LR # 56.1 + “Standard of Review” just stated), the court “MUST” CREDIT PSOF (Dkt.# 83), TRUMPING DSOF (Dkt.# 74)!

The facts are as represented in IBM’s statement of material facts, D. 74, and undisputed by Tuvell, D. 82, unless otherwise noted.

DSOF(Dkt.# 74) & PSOF(Dkt.# 83) are REQUIRED (by LR # 56.1); RespDSOF (Plf.’s Response to DSOF, Dkt.# 82) is OPTIONAL. RespDSOF pointed into PSOF 19 times, but the judge DIDN’T FOLLOW those pointers, not even once.

Tuvell is a white male, born in 1947, who claims to suffer from post-traumatic stress

SMOKING GUN BIG LIE Elephant-in-the-Room (from District Court Decision/Opinion ¶2)

This (mis)behavior constitutes/proves obvious/blatant/egregious criminal/impeachable Judicial Misconduct — Falsification of Facts, Obstruction of Justice, Fraud Upon the Court (by a Judge) [and, later, Cover-Up, see *infra*] — pure and simple. **QED.**