Subject: On "Judicial Bullshit"
From: Walt Tuvell <Walt.Tuvell@gmail.com>
Date: 03/31/2018 02:51 PM
To: Internal_Control_and_Audit@ao.uscourts.gov,
AOdb_Internal_Control_and_Audit@ao.uscourts.gov

In furtherance of my ongoing communications with you (AO, Judicial Conference, etc.), I hereby submit the following comment/observation:


While my reference thereto is wholly appropriate in its context, the mere citation may seem "shocking" (or "irreverent") to some. For that reason, I feel motivated here to notify you that a recent law review article has just come to my attention, entitled "Supreme Judicial Bullshit," by Prof. Adam Kolber of Brooklyn Law School, available at https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3143722 (and attached hereto). He also references Prof. Frankfurt's work.

Note that Prof. Kolber takes multiple/varied positions in his paper (some of which may be considered controversial/"political") -- none of which do I endorse or disdain here (apart from general tenor). Rather, my purpose here is simply to inform you that my citation/reference to Frankfurt's "bullshit" work is -- far from "shocking/irreverent" -- actually rather "mainstream," with regard to the current perception of the state of the Federal Judiciary.

Kind of tells you something, don't you think?

--- Walter Tuvell (PhD, MIT & U.Chicago, Math & CompSci -- hence, "not-a-crank")
--- http://JudicialMisconduct.US (esp., .../CaseStudies/WETvIBM#smokinggun and environs)
*** Contact me, publicly or privately (walt.tuvell@gmail.com). "Ask Me Anything." ***
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