Subject: RE: Additional complaint  
From: Integrity-Complaint <Integrity-Complaint@cigie.gov>  
Date: 9/17/19, 11:17 AM  
To: Walt Tuvell <walt.tuvell@gmail.com>

Dear Mr. Tuvell,

We have received your additional complaint and have added it to the file for Integrity Committee review.

Sincerely,
Integrity Committee Working Group

From: Walt Tuvell <walt.tuvell@gmail.com>  
Sent: Monday, September 16, 2019 5:03 PM  
To: Integrity-Complaint <Integrity-Complaint@cigie.gov>  
Subject: Additional complaint

To CIGIE-IC WG —

Re: Complaint(s) I have submitted to you. See generally https://judicialmisconduct.us/CaseStudies/WFTvIBM.

In your Sep 3 letter to me (https://judicialmisconduct.us/sites/default/files/2019-09/Re_Complaint_about_DOJ_OIG.pdf), you have invited me to "submit a new allegation based on information not previously submitted." That's what I'm doing with this letter.

The "new information" I refer to involves, not new facts, but new research/understanding of mine. Namely, I have learned that, according to the IG Act §5(d), IGs are required to **immediately report particularly serious or flagrant problems:**

| (d) Each Inspector General shall report immediately to the head of the establishment involved whenever the Inspector General becomes aware of particularly serious or flagrant problems, abuses, or deficiencies relating to the administration of programs and operations of such establishment. The head of the establishment shall transmit any such report to the appropriate committees or subcommittees of Congress within seven calendar days, together with a report by the head of the establishment containing any comments such head deems appropriate. |

The complaints I have already submitted to CIGIE and DOJ OIG (concerning the DOJ OIG as-a-whole, and various and sundry "covered persons" in DOJ most of whose names I do not know), do indeed constitute "particularly serious and flagrant problems." Indeed, they actually constitute the "particularly serious and flagrant problem" of the Federal Crimes of Obstructions of Justice. Cover-Up and Conspiracy (as I've claimed/proved to many, and begged them/yous to prove me wrong, if I’m wrong, but to date nobody has even attempted to do so — because you all know I'm right). Therefore, by the IG Act §5(d), the DOJ OIG, Michael Horowitz, is/was required to immediately report them, in the manner just quoted above.

But upon my information/belief/knowledge, Horowitz did not do so (within the confines of "immediacy" required by §5(d)). This, then, constitutes the "new allegation" I am hereby submitting to you (under pain/penalty of perjury as always) with this letter.

Thank you.

— Walter Tuvell