

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR KING COUNTY

LANE POWELL PC, an Oregon
professional corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

MARK DeCOURSEY and CAROL
DeCOURSEY, individually and the marital
community composed thereof,

Defendants.

No. 11-2-34596-3SEA

ORDER ON DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO STRIKE FROM THE
COURT RECORDS ALLEGED
ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED
INFORMATION

~~PROPOSED~~ (20)

Pending before the Court is Defendants' Motion to Strike from the Court Records Alleged Attorney-Client Privileged Information. In connection with Defendants' Motion, the Court reviewed the following:

- (1) Defendants' Motion to Strike from the Court Records Alleged Attorney-Client Privileged Information;
- (2) Declaration of Carol DeCoursey in Support of Defendants' Motion to Strike from the Court Records Alleged Attorney-Client Privileged Information Introduced by Lane Powell and Exhibits A-N attached thereto;
- (3) Lane Powell's Response to DeCourseys' Motion to Strike from Court Records Alleged Attorney-Client Privileged Information;
- (4) Declaration of Hayley A. Montgomery in Response to Defendants' Motion to Strike from the Court Records Alleged Attorney-Client Privileged Information and Exhibit 1 attached thereto; and
- (5) Defendants' reply and supporting material, if any.


ORIGINAL

1 The Court has also reviewed the records and files herein. And the Court being otherwise
2 fully advised, now, therefore,

3 HEREBY ORDERS, ADJUDGES AND DECREES that Defendants' Motion to
4 Strike from the Court Records Alleged Attorney-Client Privileged Information is
5 DENIED. *

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.


7 DATED this 6th day of ^{February} ~~January~~, 2013.

8 

9 Honorable Richard D. Eadie
10 King County Superior Court Judge

11 Presented by:

12 McNAUL EBEL NAWROT & HELGREN PLLC

13 By 
14 Robert M. Sulkin, WSBA No. 15425
15 Malaika M. Eaton, WSBA No. 32837
16 Hayley A. Montgomery, WSBA No. 43339

17 Attorneys for Plaintiff Lane Powell, PC

18 * The court agrees with Defendants that Exhibit XX
19 to Mr. Sulkin's declaration, Dkt 315 should have
20 been authenticated by an appropriate witness from the
21 Lane Powell law firm. However the authenticity of Exhibit XX
22 was not challenged, and was later properly authenticated
23 by the Declaration of Hayley A. Montgomery dated
24 Jan 17, 2013 and should not be excluded from
25 consideration, there being no prejudice to Defendants.
26 relating to any lack of authentication. (29)

ORDER ON DEFS.' REV. MOT. TO RECONSIDER. ORDER
ON PL.'S MOT. FOR SUMM. J. - Page 2

LAW OFFICES OF
McNAUL EBEL NAWROT & HELGREN PLLC
600 University Street, Suite 2700
Seattle, Washington 98101-3143
(206) 467-1816